ISSN: 2687-8097
Scientific Journal of Research and Reviews
DOI: 10.33552/SJRR.2026.05.000603
Iris Publishers

Review Article

Supply Chain Optimization in Clinical Laboratory Management: Strategies for Reducing Costs, Increasing Efficiency, and Ensuring Diagnostic Quality

Roni da Silva Carvalho, Danielle Pacheco Alves, Bruno Nogueira de Barros, Max dos Santos Trojanus, Polianna Rodrigues Fonseca, Gédison Peixoto de Oliveira Junior, Gustavo Mataruna da Silva and Rafaela dos Santos Pereira Gomes*

University of Vassouras Campus Saquarema, Brazil

***Corresponding author:** Rafaela dos Santos Pereira Gomes, University of Vassouras Campus Saquarema, Brazil.

Received Date: April 17, 2026

Published Date: April 27, 2026

Abstract

The article "Supply Chain Optimization in Clinical Laboratory Management" addresses the importance of efficient supply chain management (SCM) in clinical laboratories, highlighting how strategies such as automation, inventory control, use of predictive technologies, and partnerships with suppliers can contribute to cost reduction, increased operational efficiency, and diagnostic quality assurance. The study analyzes the impact of SCM practices on improving response time, traceability of inputs, and reliability of laboratory results. In addition, the article discusses how continuous professional training, the implementation of technologies such as management systems, and collaboration with suppliers are essential for the sustainability of laboratories. The proposed model suggests integrated logistics practices to optimize processes and improve the quality of services provided to patients.

Keywords: Supply Chain, Automation, Operational Efficiency, Inventory Management, Sustainability

Introduction

Supply Chain Management (SCM) in the healthcare area has unique characteristics that directly impact the quality of services provided, especially in clinical laboratories. These environments require precise management of laboratory supplies, reagents, equipment, and support materials, with emphasis on traceability, validity and storage conditions. Inefficiency in the management of these resources can compromise the accuracy of the tests, generate economic losses, and affect patient care [1,2]. With the increase in demand for laboratory tests and the need for quick and reliable responses, the application of SCM strategies has become essential for the sustainability and competitiveness of laboratories. The adoption of practices such as integrated inventory management, automation of logistics processes, and predictive analysis can promote a reduction in operating costs, an improvement in response time, and an increase in diagnostic reliability [3,4].

Laboratory automation has played a crucial role in transforming laboratory processes, allowing for greater efficiency and accuracy. Automated systems can perform tasks such as inventory

management, ordering supplies, and tracking equipment usage faster and more accurately than manual processes, resulting in reduced human error and improved data integrity [5,6]. In addition, automation contributes significantly to the reduction of operating costs. By implementing automated systems, laboratories can reduce labor costs, improve resource allocation, and optimize their overall operations. This can lead to significant savings in the long run and allow laboratories to reallocate resources to other areas of need [7,8]. Effective supply chain management in clinical laboratories also involves inventory control, collaboration with suppliers, logistics coordination, and continuous process improvement. Adopting these strategies ensures reliable supply of materials, increases efficiency, minimizes costs, and strengthens support for both healthcare and research initiatives [9,10]. The integration of advanced technologies, such as laboratory information management systems (LIMS) and radio frequency identification (RFID), has been instrumental in improving traceability and efficiency in the laboratory supply chain. These technologies enable real-time data collection and analysis, facilitating informed decision-making and rapid response to changes in demand [11, 2].

Collaboration between laboratories and suppliers is another vital aspect of supply chain management. Collaborative practices, such as joint planning, forecasting, and replenishment (CPFR), allow for better synchronization between supply and demand, reducing the risk of supply disruptions and improving the availability of essential products [1,12]. Implementing SCM strategies also contributes to compliance with regulations and quality standards. Proper supply management ensures that laboratories comply with legal and regulatory requirements, avoiding penalties and ensuring patient safety [13,2]. Sustainability is a growing concern in laboratory supply chain management. Sustainable practices, such as waste reduction, optimization of use of resources and choice of suppliers committed to the environment, not only reduce environmental impact, but can also result in financial savings [14,15]. Finally, the continuous training of professionals involved in supply chain management is essential for the success of the strategies implemented. Investing in training and development ensures that staff are up-to-date with available best practices and technologies, fostering a culture of continuous improvement and operational excellence [9,16].

Objectives

The present study aims to analyze the impact of supply chain optimization on the management of clinical laboratories, considering its direct influence on operational efficiency, cost control and quality of services provided. The supply chain, as it ranges from the selection and negotiation with suppliers to the control of inventories and distribution of inputs, is a strategic element for the sustainability and performance of laboratories in the context of health. In addition to examining the effects of this integrated management, the research seeks to identify and discuss the main logistics strategies applicable to the laboratory reality, with an emphasis on process automation, use of predictive technologies, professional training and effective partnerships with suppliers. By exploring these dimensions, the study intends to contribute to the improvement of logistics governance in clinical laboratories, promoting more agile, safe practices aligned with the quality standards required in the sector.

Operational Efficiency in Supply Chain Management

Operational efficiency in clinical laboratories is directly associated with the effectiveness of supply chain management, which ranges from supplier selection to inventory control and distribution of inputs. When well managed, this chain allows the reduction of exam processing time, ensures the availability of essential materials and minimizes waste, contributing to greater agility and precision in the services offered. The correct logistics organization, combined with purchase planning based on real demand and the traceability of inputs, is capable of transforming the performance of laboratories, increasing productivity and reducing failures in analytical and pre-analytical processes [12,17].

Technology and Innovation Applied to Laboratory Logistics

The application of technologies such as the Internet of Things (IoT), automated management systems, and predictive analytics tools have driven supply chain efficiency in laboratory environments. These technological solutions allow real-time monitoring of inventories, greater control over expiration dates, and better consumption forecasts, which significantly reduces the incidence of human errors and contributes to the rational use of available resources. In studies conducted in hospitals in the United States, it was observed that the automation of the hospital logistics chain resulted in savings of up to 30% in operating costs, in addition to significant gains in the organization of internal flows [3,6].

Professional Training and Process Standardization

In addition to technology, efficiency is linked to the training of teams and the standardization of processes. The implementation of management protocols based on logistics performance indicators allows the systematic monitoring of operational bottlenecks, promoting continuous improvements. The integration of the multiprofessional team into the supply logic, combined with constant training and effective communication with suppliers, has also shown positive results in reducing delays and increasing the predictability of deliveries. Laboratories that have adopted this type of approach have been able to increase the efficiency of their systems by up to 45%, with a direct impact on patient satisfaction and cost control [15,12].

Cost Reduction and Financial Sustainability

The reduction of operating costs in clinical laboratories is directly related to the adoption of supply management strategies that favor the rationalization of the use of resources, without compromising the quality of services. One of the most effective approaches is the automation of logistics processes, such as inventory control, tracking inputs, and issuing orders, which significantly reduces operational errors and rework. In addition, the replacement of manual methods with automated systems frees up the technical team for activities of greater complexity and added

value, contributing to the optimization of the workforce and the financial balance of the institution [6,8].

Information Systems and Supplier Integration

Another important strategy for cost control is the use of integrated information systems, such as Laboratory Information Systems (LIS) and ERP management platforms. These systems make it possible to consolidate operational, financial, and logistical data in real time, allowing for predictive analytics and evidence-based decisions. Integration with suppliers through digital platforms also facilitates the management of scheduled purchases, avoiding emergency acquisitions - usually more expensive - and improving the negotiation of prices by volume or loyalty [4,5].

Strategic Supplier Management

In addition, strategic supplier management plays a crucial role in reducing costs, since well-structured agreements can include performance clauses, quality control, and optimized delivery times. The implementation of practices such as vendor-managed inventory (VMI), where the supplier itself manages the customer's inventory levels, has proven effective in reducing fixed capital and preventing supply disruptions. These measures, when integrated into an organizational culture focused on economic sustainability, favor laboratories to maintain more balanced operating margins, even in contexts of budget constraints [15,17].

Quality of Services and Traceability

The quality of laboratory services depends, to a large extent, on the timely availability of critical inputs, the adequacy of storage conditions and the traceability of the materials used. Supply chain management acts as a central element in this process, ensuring that reagents, collection materials, controls, and analytical standards are properly stocked, within the deadline of Validity and in ideal conditions for its use. The absence of a single component can compromise the entire diagnostic process, in addition to generating rework, delays and damage to the institutional image of the laboratory [7].

Standardization, Certification and Regulatory Compliance

A well-structured supply chain also plays a decisive role in the standardization of processes and compliance with quality protocols, especially in laboratories that follow standards such as ISO 15189 or the requirements of ANVISA's RDC No. 302/2005. The standardization of acquisitions and negotiation with certified suppliers contribute to uniformity in test results, which is essential to ensure reproducibility and diagnostic reliability. In addition, supply failures or variations in inputs can introduce interferences that directly affect the accuracy of laboratory results [12,13].

Patient Satisfaction as a Performance Indicator

Finally, supply chain management also influences patient satisfaction, a growing indicator of quality in the healthcare industry. The unavailability of exams due to lack of supplies or delays in the release of reports due to logistical problems generates insecurity, prolongs diagnoses and can negatively impact the patient's clinical outcome. Laboratories that adopt advanced management practices, such as cyclical inventories, historical-based demand forecasting, and systems integration, are able to minimize these risks and offer safer and more efficient care, which is directly reflected in the quality indices perceived by users [5,6].

Challenges and opportunities in the integration of the supply chain with laboratory information systems.

The integration between the supply chain and Laboratory Information Systems (LIS) represents a promising strategy to improve the efficiency and quality of laboratory services. The supply chain in the health area requires precision and agility in the acquisition, storage, and distribution of laboratory supplies, being highly sensitive to delays or inconsistencies [18]. In this context, LIS systems, responsible for recording, processing, and managing laboratory data, can act as intelligent interfaces that connect clinical needs to logistics operations, optimizing resources and reducing errors [19].

Despite the potential benefits, this integration faces significant technical and operational hurdles. One of the main challenges is interoperability between heterogeneous systems, which hinders data standardization and communication between platforms from different vendors [20]. In addition, real-time data management requires robust information security and traceability protocols, especially considering the regulatory requirements for confidentiality in laboratory environments [21]. The absence of a mature digital infrastructure can compromise the effectiveness of integration and generate operational redundancies or supply chain failures. On the other hand, the adoption of integrated solutions between LIS and logistics management tools has shown substantial gains in complex laboratory environments. Studies show that this approach promotes the visibility of processes, reduces the response time to demands and allows the automation of critical tasks, such as inventory control and collection scheduling [22]. In addition, the centralization of laboratory and logistics information in integrated platforms favors predictive analysis and data-driven decision-making, contributing to safer, more efficient, and economically sustainable management practices [23].

Impacts of collaboration with suppliers on supply chain performance in clinical laboratories.

Close collaboration between organizations and their suppliers has become one of the essential pillars for building more resilient supply chains, especially in the healthcare sector, where accuracy and continuity of services are vital. In the context of clinical laboratories, this collaboration is even more critical, considering the need for highly specialized inputs, agile deliveries, and strict quality control [24]. Strategic partnership management allows not only to minimize supply disruptions, but also to foster trust and goal alignment among chain agents [25]. Well-structured partnerships with suppliers enable significant operational gains, such as cost reduction through more efficient and predictable supply contracts. In addition, collaboration can facilitate the joint development of

technological or logistical solutions that meet the specific needs of clinical laboratories, such as packaging customization, traceability of sensitive materials, and regulatory compliance [26]. The synergy between laboratories and suppliers reduces the variability in the supply of reagents and equipment, favoring the standardization of processes and, consequently, the quality of the services provided [27].

In addition to operational and economic gains, cooperation between suppliers and laboratories can drive innovation in clinical diagnostics. The continuous exchange of information and joint investment in research and development are strategies that strengthen the capacity to respond to new demands or health crises, as observed during the COVID-19 pandemic [28]. Therefore, strategic partnerships should not be seen only as commercial contracts, but as alliances capable of adding value, sustainability, and agility to the health supply chain.

Influence of supply chain management on the environmental sustainability of clinical laboratories.

The growing demand for sustainable practices in the health sector has driven clinical laboratories to rethink their logistics strategies, incorporating sustainability as a structuring axis of the supply chain. In this context, the efficient management of material, input and waste flows becomes essential to mitigate the environmental impacts associated with laboratory activities [14]. Green logistics, combined with strategic supply chain planning, contributes to the rational use of natural resources and to the minimization of the generation of hazardous waste, often found in laboratory processes [29].

The adoption of environmental criteria in the selection of suppliers is one of the most relevant actions in this process. Suppliers who share environmental values and use sustainable production and transportation practices can strengthen the socio-environmental responsibility of the laboratory institution [30]. In addition, the traceability of products, from their origin to disposal, allows greater control over environmental impacts and favors more conscious decisions regarding the acquisition and use of laboratory supplies [31]. Such actions not only reduce direct environmental impact, but also improve institutional image and alignment with ESG (Environmental, Social and Governance) goals.

Implementing sustainable strategies in the supply chain of clinical laboratories also offers economic and operational benefits. Reducing waste, through inventory control, reuse of non-contaminating materials and proper disposal, reduces costs and risks associated with environmental contamination [32]. Sustainability, in this sense, should not be understood as an additional cost, but as an integrated management practice that promotes efficiency, regulatory compliance, and ethical commitment to planetary health [33]. Thus, supply chain management takes on a strategic role in building environmentally responsible laboratories that are prepared for global health challenges.

The Role of Professional Training in The Effectiveness of Supply Chain Management in Clinical Laboratories.

The effectiveness of Supply Chain Management (SCM) in the health sector is directly related to the qualification and continuous development of the professionals involved. In complex environments such as clinical laboratories, where logistical precision directly impacts the quality of the services provided, the technical and managerial training of the team is a strategic differential [16]. The shortage of trained professionals, combined with the constant evolution of management tools and regulatory demands, highlights the need for permanent investments in training as a way to ensure adaptability and performance [34]. Structured training programs, focusing on emerging technologies, sustainable practices, and data analysis, expand the team's ability to anticipate problems, reduce waste, and improve integration between the different links in the chain [9].

In addition, the constant updating of professionals in relation to quality, traceability, and safety standards contributes to regulatory compliance and to the strengthening of the organizational culture focused on operational excellence [35]. Training not only improves individual performance, but also encourages collaborative alignment between areas, which is essential for assertive and agile logistics decisions. Building a culture of continuous improvement in SCM depends on prepared leadership, efficient communication, and collective engagement. In this context, organizational learning should be promoted in a systematic way, encouraging participation in courses, certifications, and logistics innovation projects [36]. Investing in the human capital of the supply chain allows for greater resilience in the face of disruptive events, such as pandemics, and contributes to the development of smart, data-driven solutions aligned with global best practices [37]. Thus, continuous professional development is one of the pillars for the efficiency, sustainability and competitiveness of SCM systems in the health area.

Effects of supply chain management on patient satisfaction served by clinical laboratories.

Efficient supply chain management (SCM) in the laboratory environment is not only a matter of operational efficiency, but a decisive factor for the quality perceived by the patient. The availability of supplies, reagents, diagnostic kits, and equipment in a timely manner is essential for the tests to be performed within the recommended clinical deadlines [10]. The absence or scarcity of materials can lead to delays in results, compromise diagnostic accuracy, and negatively impact the patient journey, making SCM a key link between logistical processes and clinical outcomes [1]. The reduction in waiting time for collection, processing, and delivery of laboratory results is one of the variables most valued by patients and directly influenced by the fluidity of the supply chain. Well-integrated logistics systems, which allow traceability, demand forecasting, and real-time inventory control, are able to anticipate stockouts and organize workflows with greater precision (Vieira et al., 2020). This operational agility, in turn, improves the perception of quality and strengthens the bond between the patient and the health service, translating into greater trust and loyalty (Lu et al., 2019). Thus, patient satisfaction should be recognized as an important performance indicator of the SCM practices adopted in clinical laboratories.

Patient-oriented management, combined with precision logistics, strengthens the pillars of humanization and problem-solving capacity in health care (Thung et al., 2021). Performance measures that incorporate the patient's perspective, such as the Net Promoter Score (NPS) and response time indicators, are valuable tools to monitor and improve the integration between logistics and care processes (Rohani et al., 2023). Therefore, investing in an efficient supply chain is investing directly in the quality of care and user experience. Integrated supply chain management model for clinical laboratories, based on the best practices identified. From the analysis of the most effective supply chain management practices in clinical laboratories, it becomes possible to propose an integrated model that brings together the main strategic elements identified in the literature and in the practical experience of the sector. This model considers everything from the careful selection of suppliers and the use of technological tools to the training of teams and the adoption of logistics performance indicators [9,35].

The integration of these components aims to overcome the main operational bottlenecks, promoting greater fluidity, traceability and predictability throughout the laboratory supply cycle. The model proposal also contemplates the use of integrated information systems, such as ERPs and LISs, combined with Internet of Things (IoT) solutions and predictive analytics, allowing real-time monitoring and data-driven decision-making [8,11]. In addition, the framework suggests the incorporation of collaborative strategies with suppliers, such as Vendor-Managed Inventory (VMI), and sustainable practices aimed at reducing waste and rationalizing the use of resources.These elements, when articulated, favor the construction of a more efficient, resilient supply chain aligned with the regulatory and health requirements of laboratory services [17]. This integrated model will be presented as a practical and applicable guide for clinical laboratories looking to optimize their processes, improve the quality of services, and achieve greater control of operating costs. By promoting a systemic view of supply management, the proposal contributes to the professionalization of the sector and to the adoption of higher standards of excellence. The expectation is that its implementation will favor not only the internal results of the laboratories, but also patient satisfaction and diagnostic reliability, consolidating the supply chain as a strategic pillar of laboratory management [12,15].

Justification

Modern laboratory management requires more than technical competence in the analysis of exams; It requires an integrated approach that contemplates the efficient management of processes, resources, and technologies. In an increasingly competitive scenario and pressured by high standards of quality and clinical problem-solving, laboratories face the challenge of balancing technical excellence with operational sustainability [1]. In this context, the supply chain reveals itself to be a strategic dimension of management, whose efficiency directly impacts response capacity, waste reduction, and the availability of critical inputs [10]. Recent studies show that the adoption of advanced logistics practices, such as inventory automation, the use of integrated systems (ERP and LIS), and the application of predictive analytics, can significantly increase the operational performance of clinical laboratories [3,5].

In addition, the implementation of collaborative strategies with suppliers and the use of performance indicators in the supply chain have been shown to be effective in reducing costs and improving the quality of laboratory services [7]. In this way, the role of laboratory logistics goes beyond the support function and positions itself as a central element of the institutional strategy. This study is justified by contributing with practical and evidence-based guidelines that can be applied by laboratory managers interested in optimizing their processes and achieving greater diagnostic efficiency. The proposal of an integrated supply chain management model, based on internationally recognized good practices, offers a viable way for clinical laboratories to advance in the standardization, traceability, and security of their operational flows. By aligning logistics management with quality in health, this research aims to strengthen data-driven decision-making and promote innovation as a vector of transformation in the laboratory area [4,9].

Methodology

This study was developed through a narrative literature review, complemented by the critical analysis of selected case studies, with the objective of understanding and systematizing the best practices in supply chain management aimed at clinical laboratories. The bibliographic search was conducted between January and April 2024, using the PubMed, SciELO, Web of Science, and Google Scholar databases. Articles published in the last ten years (2014-2024) were selected, focusing on publications that addressed topics such as supply chain management health supplies, laboratory logistics, process automation, tracking of inputs and operational performance indicators. The choice of narrative review is justified by its methodological flexibility, which allows for a contextualized and critical analysis of multiple theoretical and practical approaches (Greenhalgh et al., 2018; Ferrari, 2015).

The inclusion criteria involved peer-reviewed scientific publications, in Portuguese, English, and Spanish, that presented empirical evidence or methodological proposals on supply chain applied to health, with an emphasis on laboratory environments. Data analysis was performed by thematic grouping, categorizing the findings into the following dimensions: inventory management, reverse logistics, tracking and traceability, process automation, and cost-benefit ratios. The discussion was based on the comparison of results between the analyzed studies and the specialized literature, considering the particularities of the laboratory context. As a final product, a proposal for a conceptual model of supply chain management was elaborated, adapted to the reality of clinical laboratories, with a focus on efficiency, sustainability and quality of care [2,13].

Expected Results and Conclusion

It is expected that, from the consolidation of the data analyzed in the literature and in the case studies, the proposed model of laboratory supply chain management will contribute significantly to the optimization of internal processes in clinical laboratories. The application of this model tends to improve operational

efficiency through the automation of inventories, the forecasting of demands, and the standardization of logistics flows, promoting greater agility in the execution of tests and the release of results [8,9]. In addition, the systematic organization of supplies should reduce the response time to patients and minimize interruptions due to lack of critical materials [1]. Another expected result is related to the reduction of operating costs, through the rational use of resources, the prevention of losses due to the expiration of reagents, and the integration with suppliers through systems such as Vendor-Managed Inventory (VMI).

This approach, already consolidated in industrial sectors, has also proven to be efficient in the health area, by allowing the supplier to manage inventories based on real consumption data, reducing fixed capital and increasing the predictability of replenishment [6,7]. The critical analysis of the evidence points out that the combination of technology, management by indicators and team training is decisive for the economic sustainability of the laboratories. From a qualitative point of view, it is expected that the implementation of the model will favor diagnostic safety, through traceability control and the maintenance of ideal conditions for storing inputs. Compliance with standards such as ISO 15189 and ANVISA's RDC No. 302/2005 is also benefited, since standardization and systematic monitoring of the supply chain contribute to the consistency of analytical results [4,2]. The improvement in diagnostic reliability has a direct impact on patient satisfaction, which is increasingly used as an indicator of quality in health services [10].

Conclusion

The analysis of the literature and the selected case studies allowed us to show that the efficient management of the supply chain in clinical laboratories is a strategic factor for the improvement of diagnostic services, especially in the face of contemporary demands for agility, traceability and quality. The integration between logistics planning, process automation, the use of predictive technologies, and the formation of qualified teams is essential to ensure the availability of critical inputs, reduce waste, and optimize operational performance [1,10]. The adoption of tools such as Laboratory Information Systems (LIS), ERP platforms, and solutions based on the Internet of Things (IoT) and artificial intelligence contributes significantly to the traceability and predictability of logistics processes.

These technologies favor strict inventory control, reagent shelf-life management, and real-time consumption analysis, minimizing failures that directly impact the quality of results and the patient experience [3,5]. In addition, strategies such as Vendor-Managed Inventory (VMI) and integration with certified suppliers strengthen the economic sustainability of the laboratory operation [7]. Based on the evidence analyzed, this study proposed a conceptual model of supply chain management applicable to the laboratory context, bringing together the best practices identified in the literature. The model aims to serve as a reference for clinical laboratories that seek to align logistics efficiency with technical quality and sustainability. The structure integrates the axes of automation, control of logistics indicators, workforce qualification, and strategic planning based on data, contributing to more assertive decisions and superior care results [4,6]. Therefore, it is concluded that the supply chain should not be treated only as administrative support, but as a vital component for diagnostic and organizational excellence in clinical laboratories. The implementation of integrated, evidence-based models that are adaptable to the institutional reality represents a promising way to increase the competitiveness, safety, and problem-solving capacity of laboratory services. Future research can deepen the applicability of the proposed model in different regional contexts and levels of complexity, as well as evaluate indicators of clinical and economic impact associated with its adoption [9,2].

Acknowledgements

None

Conflict of Interest

No conflict of interest.

References

1. DONKOR E A, CHINSA G, KABALATA R (2022) Supply chain management in clinical laboratories: barriers and facilitators in low-resource settings. BMC Health Services Research 22(1): 885.
2. GOUVEIA, T. R. F. et al (2020) Applications of the supply chain in clinical laboratories: a literature review. Journal of Management in Health Systems 9(2): 123-134.
3. NEEDLE M (2023) Smart supply chain automation in clinical labs: improving performance through predictive tools. American Journal of Clinical Pathology 160(1): 45–52.
4. LABVITAL (2024) Good practices in laboratory management: supply chain and diagnostic quality. Technical Study Series.
5. CRELIOHEALTH (2023) The impact of integrated systems on laboratory performance. Technical report.
6. FCAMARA (2024) Operational efficiency and cost reduction in clinical laboratories through supply chain automation. Technical Bulletin.
7. ONWUKA E M, CHUKWURAH D C (2022) Strategic supply chain practices and performance of clinical laboratories in emerging economies. International Journal of Health Systems and Implementation Research 7(2): 98–107.
8. NEEDLE (2023) Improving Efficiency in Supply Chain Management for Clinical Laboratory Services in US Hospitals.
9. VAN HOEK R, CHEN H, JOHNSON M (2020) Learning from disruption: supply chain lessons from the COVID-19 pandemic. International Journal of Operations & Production Management 40(11): 1019–1041.
10. KUMAR S, RAHMAN Z, KAZMI A A (2018) Patient satisfaction and healthcare supply chain performance: An integrated approach. International Journal of Health Care Quality Assurance 31(2): 130–145.
11. CRELIOHEALTH (2023) Unraveling the Laboratory Supply Chain Management Enigma.
12. LABVITAL (2024) How to manage the supply chain in clinical analysis laboratories.
13. ANVISA (2005) National Health Surveillance Agency. Resolution RDC No. 302. Provides for the technical regulation for the operation of clinical laboratories. Official Gazette of the Union, Brasília.
14. Federico Caniato, Maria Caridi, Luca Crippa, Antonella Moretto (2012) Environmental sustainability in fashion supply chains: An exploratory case-based research. International Journal of Production Economics 135 (2): 659-670.

15. FCAMARA (2024) Operational efficiency in health: learn about good practices.

16. FERGUSON M, DUNN M, CHEN X (2021) Training and development for healthcare supply chain professionals: a performance-oriented approach. Journal of Supply Chain Management in Healthcare 5(2): 98-10.

17. ONWUKA C K, CHUKWURAH E F (2022) Medical Laboratory Supply Chain Management Reviews; Implications in Achieving a Standard Diagnostic Outcome. International Journal of Advances in Engineering and Management 4(8): 1002–1011.

18. KUMAR S, ALAM F, HUSSAIN M (2020) Supply chain disruptions in healthcare: lessons from COVID-19. International Journal of Healthcare Management 13(4): 253–261.

19. FERNANDES A L et al (2019) Impact of Laboratory Information Systems on clinical workflow and patient safety. Revista Brasileira de Análises Clínicas 51(2): 78-85.

20. CHANG H C, et al (2021) System interoperability and data integration challenges in health IT: a review. Journal of Biomedical Informatics 115: 103693.

21. SILVA D F, et al. (2022) Information security in laboratory environments: challenges and recommendations. Cadernos de Saúde Pública 38 (1): e00123421.

22. MOGHADDAM M, USHER J M (2020) Laboratory logistics: integration of LIS and supply chain systems for real-time decision making. Computers in Biology and Medicine 119: 103665.

23. RATH S, et al. (2023)Big data analytics in laboratory and supply chain integration: a pathway to smart healthcare. Journal of Healthcare Engineering.

24. KUMAR M, GUPTA V, SINGH R (2021) Supplier collaboration and supply chain resilience: a study of healthcare supply chains. Journal of Advances in Management Research 18(2): 172–190.

25. ZHANG C, HUO B (2019) The impact of collaboration intensity on supply chain resilience: the mediating role of supply chain information sharing. International Journal of Logistics Management 30(3): 1079–1098.

26. ROCHA M B, SOUZA J C, ALMEIDA A C (2020) Strategic partnerships in the hospital supply chain: a study in clinical laboratories. Cadernos EBAPE.BR 18(4): 899–913.

27. PEREIRA L M, et al. (2022) Supplier management in clinical laboratories: impacts on the quality of health services. Journal of Health Administration 22(1): 45–52.

28. IVANOV D, DOLGUI A (2020) Viability of intertwined supply networks: extending the supply chain resilience angles towards survivability. International Journal of Production Research 58 (10): 2904-2915.

29. LEE S Y, RHEE S K (2016) The influence of green innovation on environmental and corporate performance: A technology trajectory perspective. Journal of Cleaner Production 107: 552–560.

30. Kannan Govindan, Mathiyazhagan Kaliyan, Devika Kannan, AN Haq (2014) Barriers analysis for green supply chain management implementation in Indian industries using analytic hierarchy process. International Journal of Production Economics 147: 555-568.

31. SGARBOSSA F, RUSSO I (2017)A proactive model in sustainable food supply chain: Insight from a case study. *International* Journal of Production Economics 183: 596–606.

32. BAI C, SARKIS, J(2012) Integrating sustainability into supplier selection with grey system and rough set methodologies. International Journal of Production Economics 124(1): 252-264.

33. PEREIRA T M, et al. (2023) Environmental sustainability in clinical laboratories: strategies and challenges in the supply chain. Health Management Journal 14(1): 88–96.

34. KOVÁCS G, FALAGARA SIGALA I (2021) Education and training for logistics and supply chain management professionals in the health sector. Journal of Humanitarian Logistics and Supply Chain Management 11(3): 355-371.

35. CHRISTOPHER M (2016) Logistics & Supply Chain Management. 5. ed. Harlow: Pearson Education.

36. TATHAM P, CHRISTOPHER M (2018) Humanitarian logistics: Meeting the challenge of preparing for and responding to disasters. Kogan Page Publishers.

37. SERRATE D A, PEREIRA T L, SANTOS M R (2023) Professional development in hospital supply chains: challenges and strategies. Health Management Journal 15(1): 45–54.