ISSN: 2832-790X
DOI: 10.33552/OJRAT.2025.03.000565
Online Journal of
Robotics & Automation Technology
Iris Publishers

Mini- Review

Sustainability In Robotics: Innovations in Energy-Efficient Automation Systems

Loso Judijanto*

IPOSS Jakarta, Indonesia

***Corresponding author:** Loso Judijanto, IPOSS Jakarta, Indonesia

Received Date: **February 12, 2025**
Published Date: **February 19, 2025**

Introduction

Sustainability has become a cornerstone of modern robotics, driven by global environmental challenges and the urgent need to reduce carbon emissions. Industrial robots alone account for approximately 8% of global electricity consumption in manufacturing, necessitating innovations to align with sustainability goals [1-3]. Energy-efficient automation systems are critical for minimizing resource waste and operational costs while maintaining productivity [4-6]. This review explores recent advancements in reducing energy consumption across robotic systems, focusing on material science, energy harvesting, and computational optimization.

Challenges in Achieving Energy Efficiency

The pursuit of energy efficiency in robotics has emerged as a critical focus area amid rising industrial automation and sustainability mandates. Robotic systems, particularly in industrial settings, face significant energy demands due to high-precision actuators, computing workloads, and continuous operation [7-8]. Modern collaborative robots (cobots) consume 0.25–2.5 kWh during active operation, with peaks exceeding 4 kW during high-torque tasks, creating substantial optimization challenges [1, 9]. A key challenge lies in balancing energy efficiency with performance metrics such as speed and accuracy. For instance, reducing acceleration in robotic arms can lower energy use by 12–18%, but may compromise cycle times by 25–40% creating operational trade-offs [10-13]. Advanced control strategies like Model Predictive Control (MPC) and neural network-driven trajectory optimization have shown promise in mitigating these trade-offs, achieving 22–37% energy savings without throughput loss in pick-and-place operations [14-16].

Additionally, the absence of standardized metrics complicates cross-platform efficiency comparisons, hindering industry-wide adoption of best practices [17]. While metrics like Joules/meter and Energy-Performance Ratio (EPR) are gaining traction, their inconsistent adoption hinders industry-wide benchmarking [11, 18, 19]. Recent studies highlight a 30–55% variation in energy consumption between functionally equivalent industrial robots due to differences in drive systems and software algorithms [19, 20]. Additional complexities arise from environmental factors such as ambient temperature fluctuations (impacting motor efficiency by 8-15%) and electromechanical losses in transmission systems [15]. Emerging solutions like kinetic energy recuperation systems (KERS) demonstrate 19–28% energy recovery in repetitive tasks, though implementation costs remain prohibitive for small-scale applications [21, 22].

Recent Innovations in Energy-Efficient Robotics

Recent advancements in robotics have increasingly focused on energy efficiency as a critical design parameter, driven by the dual imperatives of operational cost reduction and environmental sustainability. Cutting-edge innovations span material science, energy recovery systems, and computational architectures, enabling robots to achieve unprecedented performance-per-watt ratios while maintaining functionality. These developments are particularly crucial in industrial and agricultural applications where continuous operation and energy autonomy are paramount.

A. Lightweight Materials: Advanced composites like carbon fiber-reinforced polymers (CFRPs) and high-strength aluminum alloys are revolutionizing robotic design by reducing structural mass without compromising durability. Studies demonstrate that replacing traditional steel components with CFRPs can decrease energy consumption by 25-30% during dynamic movements due to reduced inertial loads [23-27]. Cognibotics' HKM1800 material-handling robot exemplifies this approach, utilizing carbon fiber construction to achieve a 50% reduction in operational energy compared to conventional aluminum systems while maintaining a 49 kg payload capacity [28-30]. Research further confirms that optimized carbon fiber layering techniques in robotic arms yield 18-22% energy savings in repetitive pick-and-place operations [31].

B. Energy-Harvesting Technologies: Modern robots increasingly integrate renewable energy capture mechanisms to reduce grid dependence. Solar-powered agricultural surveillance robots equipped with capacitor banks achieve full daytime operation with only 2 hours of photovoltaic charging, as demonstrated in Indonesian field trials [32, 33]. Industrial applications employ kinetic energy recovery systems (KERS) that convert deceleration forces into reusable electricity - ABB's YuMi collaborative robot utilizes regenerative braking to recover 34% of motion energy, while Fanuc's assembly-line robots achieve 30% net energy reduction through flywheel-based storage systems [9, 21, 34-38]. A 2024 study revealed that KERS-equipped welding robots can reduce peak power demands by 41% during high-cycle operations [39].

C. Low-Power Computing Architectures: The shift toward specialized low-power processors has enabled real-time decision-making with minimal energy overhead. Field-programmable gate arrays (FPGAs) now achieve 40-65% higher energy efficiency than traditional CPUs in navigation tasks through parallelized sensor data processing, as validated by MIT's Navion chip for autonomous drones [40-45]. Recent implementations of neural processing units (NPUs) in edge-computing robots demonstrate 83% lower power consumption during machine vision tasks compared to GPU-based systems [46, 47]. Princeton University's eViper soft robot exemplifies ultra-efficient computing, performing complex terrain navigation using just 1W through optimized piezoelectric control algorithms [48].

These synergistic advancements position energy-efficient robotics as a cornerstone of sustainable industrial transformation, with lifecycle analyses showing 55-60% reductions in carbon footprint compared to conventional automation systems [30]. Ongoing research focuses on hybrid energy systems combining solar, kinetic, and thermal harvesting to achieve full operational autonomy in field robotics by 2030 [33, 49].

Applications Across Sectors

Industrial Automation: Companies like KUKA and Fanuc use AI-driven algorithms to optimize robotic arm trajectories, reducing energy waste by 20–25% without compromising precision [50, 51]. PROFIenergy protocols enable automated shutdown during idle periods, saving 8–15% of annual consumption [10, 52].

Autonomous Vehicles: Lightweight sensor systems and predictive control algorithms in autonomous mobile robots (AMRs) reduce battery drain by 18% while maintaining navigation accuracy [19, 53-55].

Agriculture: Solar-powered robots for crop monitoring and precision irrigation cut water and energy use by 30% in large-scale farming [56-59].

Future Directions and Research Gaps

Advances in sustainable energy systems and material science are reshaping technological frontiers, yet critical challenges remain in harmonizing innovation with scalability. Integrating renewable energy sources like wind and solar into robotic microgrids could enable fully self-sustaining systems through AI-driven optimization algorithms that balance intermittency and demand [16,19, 60, 61]. Recent studies highlight hybrid microgrid architectures using metaheuristic algorithms to reduce costs by 14–29% while maintaining reliability [37]. Concurrently, research into biodegradable materials-such as mycelium-based composites for modular construction [18] and polylactic acid (PLA) for circular product design [48,62] -promises to reduce lifecycle environmental impacts by 21–40% compared to conventional materials [26, 63-65]. However, gaps persist in standardizing efficiency metrics across industries, particularly for benchmarking energy storage performance in multi-source microgrids [66]. Bibliometric analyses reveal fragmented progress in sustainability metrics, with only 18% of innovation frameworks addressing scalability in industrial applications [38, 67]. Global frameworks akin to ISO 50001 for energy management-which reduced operational costs by 12–15% in certified manufacturing systems [21, 68]-are urgently needed to unify progress tracking. Emerging proposals suggest integrating dynamic lifecycle assessments with real-time energy auditing tools to create adaptive certification standards [18, 69-71].

Conclusion

The integration of energy-efficient technologies in robotics has emerged as a transformative force in sustainable industrial practices, with demonstrated reductions of 25–60% in energy consumption and carbon footprint across applications. Lightweight carbon fiber composites and AI-optimized control systems now enable industrial robots to achieve 50% operational energy savings while maintaining payload capacity, as exemplified by Cognibotics' HKM1800 system. Kinetic energy recovery systems (KERS) and solar-hybrid architectures further enhance sustainability, with ABB's YuMi cobot recovering 34% of motion energy and agricultural robots achieving full daytime autonomy through photovoltaic charging.

Critical challenges persist in balancing performance with efficiency, particularly in high-torque applications where 4 kW power peaks remain unavoidable. Standardization gaps in metrics like Energy-Performance Ratio (EPR) create 30–55% efficiency variations between comparable systems, while small-

Citation: Loso Judijanto*. Sustainability In Robotics: Innovations in Energy-Efficient Automation Systems. On Journ of Robotics & Autom. 3(3): 2025. OJRAT.MS.ID.000565. **DOI:** 10.33552/OJRAT.2025.03.000565

scale operators face prohibitive costs for advanced recuperation technologies. Emerging solutions include FPGA-based computing architectures demonstrating 83% lower power consumption in vision tasks and biodegradable mycelium composites reducing lifecycle environmental impacts by 21–40%.

Future advancements hinge on three key priorities:

1. Hybrid microgrid integration: Combining AI-optimized solar, kinetic, and thermal harvesting for 100% operational autonomy in field robotics by 2030
2. Dynamic certification frameworks: Implementing real-time energy auditing aligned with ISO 50001 standards to bridge current 18% scalability gaps in industrial adoption
3. Circular material systems: Advancing recyclable polymers and modular designs to enable 55–60% carbon footprint reductions across robot lifecycles.

These innovations position energy-efficient robotics as critical infrastructure for achieving SDGs 7 (Clean Energy), 9 (Industry Innovation), and 12 (Responsible Consumption). As evidenced by 30% resource reductions in precision agriculture and 25% waste minimization in smart manufacturing, the field requires intensified collaboration between academia, policymakers, and industry to overcome cost barriers and accelerate global deployment. The roadmap to 2030 demands concurrent progress in material science, adaptive energy management, and standardized benchmarking to realize fully sustainable automation ecosystems.

Acknowledgment

None.

Conflict of Interest

No conflict of interest.

References

1. J Vásárhelyi, O Salih, HM Rostum, R Benotsname (2023) An Overview of Energies Problems in Robotic Systems, Energies 16(24): 8060.
2. M Moravec, M Badida, N Mikušová, L Sobotová, J Švajlenka, et al. (2021) Proposed options for noise reduction from a wastewater treatment plant: Case study, Sustain 13(4): 1-22.
3. Y Liu (2023) Impact of industrial robots on environmental pollution: evidence from China, Sci. Rep 13(1): 20769.
4. S Nižetić, Djilali, A Papadopoulos, JJPC Rodrigues (2019) Smart technologies for promotion of energy efficiency, utilization of sustainable resources and waste management, J. Clean. Prod 231: 565-591.
5. AA Firoozi, M Tshambane, AA Firoozi, and SM Sheikh (2024) Strategic load management: Enhancing eco-efficiency in mining operations through automated technologies, Results Eng 24: 102890.
6. M Choi, S Park, R Lee, S Kim, J Kwak, et al. (2024) Energy efficient robot operations by adaptive control schemes, Oxford Open Energy vol. 3.
7. M Makulavičius, S Petkevičius, J Rožėnė, A Dzedzickis, V Bučinskas (2023) Industrial Robots in Mechanical Machining: Perspectives and Limitations, Robotics 12(6): 160.
8. UE Ogenyi, J Liu, C Yang, Z Ju, H Liu (2021) Physical Human-Robot Collaboration: Robotic Systems, Learning Methods, Collaborative Strategies, Sensors, and Actuators, IEEE Trans. Cybern 51(4): 1888-1901.
9. A Sękala, T Blaszczyk, K Foit, G Kost (2024) Selected Issues, Methods, and Trends in the Energy Consumption of Industrial Robots, Energies 17(3): 641.
10. R Ruzarovsky, T Horak, R Bocak (2024) Evaluating Energy Efficiency and Optimal Positioning of Industrial Robots in Sustainable Manufacturing, J Manuf Mater. Process 8(6): 276.
11. G Carabin, E Wehrle, R Vidoni (2017) A Review on Energy-Saving Optimization Methods for Robotic and Automatic Systems, Robotics 6(4): 39.
12. AM Coutinho Demetrios (2020) Performance and Energy Trade-Offs for Parallel Applications on Heterogeneous Multi-Processing Systems, Energies 13(9): 2409
13. K Nonoyama, Z Liu, T Fujiwara, MM Alam, T Nishi (2022) Energy-Efficient Robot Configuration and Motion Planning Using Genetic Algorithm and Particle Swarm Optimization, Energies 15(6): 2074.
14. A Liu, H Liu, B Yao, W Xu, M Yang (2018) Energy consumption modeling of industrial robot based on simulated power data and parameter identification, Adv. Mech. Eng 10(5): 168781401877385.
15. S Răileanu, T Borangiu, I Lențoiu, M Constantinescu (2024) Optimizing Energy Consumption of Industrial Robots with Model-Based Layout Design, Sustainability 16(3): 1053.
16. R Wierbica, J Krejci, V Krys, T Kot (2024) Increasing the Energy Efficiency of Robotic Workplaces, in Mechanisms and Machine Science: 33nd International Conference on Robotics in Alpe-Adria-Danube Region, RAAD, pp. 523-531.
17. YI Alzoubi, A Mishra (2024) Green artificial intelligence initiatives: Potentials and challenges, J Clean. Prod 468: 143090.
18. S Swanborn, I Malavolta (2020) Energy Efficiency in Robotics Software: A Systematic Literature Review, Proc. - 2020 35th IEEE/ACM Int. Conf. Autom. Softw. Eng. Work. ASEW, pp. 144-151.
19. M Soori, B Arezoo, R Dastres (2023) Optimization of energy consumption in industrial robots, a review, Cogn. Robot 3: 142-157.
20. J Heredia (2023) Towards Energy Efficient Robotics: Energy Assessment and Optimization for Industrial Cobots, Syddansk Universitet.
21. K Stubender (2024) Harnessing Kinetic Energy for Efficiency in Industrial Robotics, Skeleton.
22. V Dawkins (2024) How Robotics and Energy Management Systems Can Collaborate to Achieve Zero-Energy Manufacturing, Manufacturing Tomorrow.
23. G Cadet, M Paredes (2024) Optimized dimensioning of helical compression springs, Eur. J. Mech. - A/Solids 107: 105385.
24. H Yin, J Liu, F Yang (2019) Hybrid Structure Design of Lightweight Robotic Arms Based on Carbon Fiber Reinforced Plastic and Aluminum Alloy, IEEE Access 7: 64932-64945.
25. GA Acosta-Amaya, DA Miranda-Montoya, JA Jimenez-Builes (2024) Lightweight Two-Layer Control Architecture for Human-Following Robot, Sensors 24(23): 7796.
26. J Meyers (2024) Lightweighting robot grippers with additive manufacturing results in enhanced efficiency and sustainability, Siemens Industries.
27. E Beard (2024) Carbon fiber robot arm: What it is and how it works, Standard Bots.
28. AFV Pedroso (2025) An overview on the recent advances in robot-assisted compensation methods used in machining lightweight materials, Robot. Comput. Integr. Manuf 91: 102844.
29. VK Sharma (2024) Energy-Efficient Robots: The Next Big Challenge, The Future of Robotics: Energy Efficient Robots.
30. (2024) Cognibotics, Sustainable robotics energy efficient technologies, Sutainable Robotics for a greener future.

Citation: Loso Judijanto*. Sustainability In Robotics: Innovations in Energy-Efficient Automation Systems. On Journ of Robotics & Autom. 3(3): 2025. OJRAT.MS.ID.000565. **DOI:** 10.33552/OJRAT.2025.03.000565

31. N Singh (2024) The Versatility of Carbon Fiber in Robotics and Electronics, Think Robotics.
32. N Evalina, F Irsan Pasaribu, A. Azis Hutasuhut (2023) The Use of Solar Power in Liquid Spraying Robots, Eng. Appl. Technol 1(2): 2023.
33. T Dewi, R Sukwadi, MB Wahju (2023) Design and Performance of Solar-Powered Surveillance Robot for Agriculture Application, Kinet. Game Technol. Inf. Syst. Comput. Network, Comput. Electron. Control 4(3).
34. SA Katiyar, LY Lee, F Iida, and SG Nurzaman (2023) Energy Harvesting for Robots with Adaptive Morphology, Soft Robot 10(2): 365-379.
35. C Zhao, Z Zhu, Y Wang, J Du (2024) The Impact of Industrial Robots on Green Total Factor Energy Efficiency: Empirical Evidence from Chinese Cities, Energies 17(20): 5034.
36. Z Liang (2023) Next-Generation Energy Harvesting and Storage Technologies for Robots Across All Scales, Adv. Intell. Syst 5(4).
37. (2025) Robotikks, Innovations for the energy efficiency of robots: economic and environmental impact, Robotikks.
38. AMS Alamili (2019) Kinetic energy recovery system design and control of the braking vehicle system, Cardiff University.
39. MC Joshi, HS Negi, DS Rautela, D Singh (2017) Kinetic Energy Recovery System KERS), Int. J. Eng. Tech. Res 7(3).
40. X Chen, NK Jha (2016) A 3-D CPU-FPGA-DRAM Hybrid Architecture for Low-Power Computation, IEEE Trans. Very Large Scale Integr. Syst 24(5): 1649-1662.
41. G García, C Jara, J Pomares, A Alabdo, L Poggi, et al. (2014) A Survey on FPGA-Based Sensor Systems: Towards Intelligent and Reconfigurable Low-Power Sensors for Computer Vision, Control and Signal Processing, Sensors 14(4): 6247-6278.
42. R Van Zyl, C Fisher (2023) Heterogeneous computing for low-cost robotic platforms, MATEC Web Conf 388: 04008.
43. Z Wan (2022) Robotic Computing on FPGAs: Current Progress, Research Challenges, and Opportunities, Proceeding - IEEE Int. Conf. Artif. Intell. Circuits Syst. AICAS 2022, pp. 291-295.
44. M Horn (2024) How Robots and AI are Redefining Efficiency, Safety, and Sustainability, Innovate Energy.
45. P Gupta (2024) FPGAS in Robotics - Revolutionizing Automation, FPGA Insights.
46. N Cao, M Chang, A Raychowdhury (2019) A 65 nm 1.1-to-9.1 TOPS/W Hybrid-Digital-Mixed Signal Computing Platform for Accelerating Model-Based and Model Free Swarm Robotics, in 2019 IEEE International Solid-State Circuits Conference.
47. G Devic (2021) Energy-Efficient Machine Learning on FPGA for Edge Devices: A Case Study, in Conference francophone d'informatique en Parallelisme, Architecture et Systeme, Lyon France.
48. S Lyon, B DeJesus (2024) Inch by inch, this machine is leading soft robotics to a more energy efficient future, Princeton University Andlinger Center for Energy and Environment News.
49. WG El Tayar, S Yehia, N Maalouf, N El Ghossein (2023) An Overview of the Energy Efficiency and Power Management Strategies in Robots, in 2023 IEEE 4th International Multidisciplinary Conference on Engineering Technology (IMCET), IEEE, pp.7-14.
50. M Bartoš, V Bulej, M Bohušík, J Stanček, V Ivanov, et al. (2021) An overview of robot applications in automotive industry, Transp. Res. Procedia 55: 837-844.
51. S Taraglio (2024) Robots for the Energy Transition: A Review, Processes 12(9): 1982.
52. Paryanto, M Brossog, M Bornschlegl, J Franke (2015) Reducing the energy consumption of industrial robots in manufacturing systems, Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol 78(5-8): 1315-1328.
53. H Faghihian, A Sargolzaei (2023) Energy Efficiency of Connected Autonomous Vehicles: A Review," Electronics 12(19): 4086.
54. M Wu, CF Yeong, ELM Su, W Holderbaum, C Yang (2023) A review on energy efficiency in autonomous mobile robots, Robot. Intell. Autom 43(6): 648-668.
55. M Naeem, R Gu, C Seceleanu, K Guldstrand Larsen, B. Nielsen, et al. (2024) Energy-Efficient Motion Planning for Autonomous Vehicles Using Uppaal Stratego, in Theoretical Aspects of Software Engineering, pp. 356-373.
56. S Shetty (2018) Energy-Efficient Robotic Systems for Precision Agriculture, IJIRMPS Int. J. Innov. Res. Eng. Multidiscip. Phys. Sci 6(6): 1-12.
57. A Ghalamzam (2022) Applications of robotic and solar energy in precision agriculture and smart farming, in Solar Energy Advancements in Agriculture and Food Production Systems, 1st ed.Elsevier, pp. 351-390.
58. J Yuan, Z Huang (2024) Intelligent Agricultural Machinery and Robots: Embracing Technological Advancements for a Sustainable and Highly Efficient Agricultural Future, Agriculture 14(12): 2150.
59. B Priyanka, MK Joseph, BR Naik (2024) Machine Learning Driven Precision Agriculture: Enhancing Farm Management through Predictive Insights, Int. J. Intell. Syst. Appl. Eng 12(23s): 195-201.
60. H Rajesh, N Priya, B Bindu, T Sowndara (2024) Robotics in Renewable Energy: Opportunities and Innovations, IJCRT Int. J. Creat. Res. Thoughts 12(10): 557-563.
61. AA Hassan, M El Habrouk, S Deghedie (2020) Renewable Energy for Robots and Robots for Renewable Energy - A Review, Robotica 38(9): 1576-1604
62. M Modrák, M Trebuňová, AF Balogová, R Hudák, J Živčák (2023) Biodegradable Materials for Tissue Engineering: Development, Classification and Current Applications, J. Funct. Biomater 14(3): 159.
63. TD Moshood, G Nawanir, F Mahmud, F Mohamad, MH Ahmad, A AbdulGhani (2022) Sustainability of biodegradable plastics: New problem or solution to solve the global plastic pollution? Curr. Res. Green Sustain. Chem 5: 100273.
64. C Zechmeister, M Gil Pérez, N Dambrosio, J Knippers, A Menges (2023) Extension of Computational Co-Design Methods for Modular, Prefabricated Composite Building Components Using Bio-Based Material Systems, Sustainability 15(16): 12189.
65. Joshua Alahira, Kehinde Andrew Olu-lawal, Irunna Ejibe, and Nwakamma Ninduwezuor-Ehiobu (2024) Sustainable Materials and Methods in Industrial Design: Bridgin g the Gap with Fine Arts and Graphic Design for Environmental Innovation, Eng. Sci. Technol. J 5(3): 774-782.
66. P Katrakazas (2021) An Equifinality Energy Management Framework in Terms of Benchmarking Practices and Expectations: The EnerMan Project Outlook, Systems 10(1): 2.
67. L Todorov, M Shopova, IM Panteleeva, L Todorova (2024) Innovation Metrics: A Critical Review," Economies 12(12): 327.
68. M Pandin, S Sumaedi, A Yaman, M Ayundyahrini, NK Supriatna, et al. (2024) ISO 50001 based energy management system: a bibliometric perspective, Int. J. Energy Sect. Manag 18(6): 1938-1963.
69. G Carabin, L Scalera (2020) On the Trajectory Planning for Energy Efficiency in Industrial Robotic Systems, Robotics 9(4): 89.
70. GDAU Garusinghe, BAKS Perera, US Weerapperuma (2023) Integrating Circular Economy Principles in Modular Construction to Enhance Sustainability, Sustainability 15(15): 11730.
71. A Mickaeal (2024) Energy Benchmarking: A Comprehensive Guide to Benefits, Process, and Tools, CIM Newsletter.

Citation: Loso Judijanto*. Sustainability In Robotics: Innovations in Energy-Efficient Automation Systems. On Journ of Robotics & Autom. 3(3): 2025. OJRAT.MS.ID.000565. **DOI:** 10.33552/OJRAT.2025.03.000565