Loss of Empathy in Medical Training: A Sad Behavioral Learning
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Summary

Empathy is a very important competence in health professionals, when working with human beings who are in a situation of vulnerability. Technological advances have caused a depersonalization of human relationships and with it a significant erosion of empathy [1,2]. We cannot deny the importance of technological progress, but it has also brought a loss and loss of empathy between health professionals and patients [3,4]. It is necessary to understand this phenomenon and work on it to maintain it, promote it and learn.

Introduction

Empathy, from the Greek empatheia, means knowing how to appreciate the feelings of another. The term empathy was introduced in 1909 by the English psychologist Edward Bradner Titchener, as a translation of the German word einfühlung.

The German word was used to describe the feelings of those who observed a work of art. Titchener wanted, then, to imply that empathy was the attempt to better understand another human being as a result of his contemplation.

It was Southard, in 1918, who first incorporated empathy in the doctor-patient relationship, as a facilitator of diagnosis and therapy [5-7]. Empathy constitutes a bridge between evidence-based medicine and patient-centered medicine: a practical way to incorporate technical progress and translate it into effective patient care.

It is evident that empathy facilitates the work of the doctor to take better care of the patient, interpersonal communication between relatives and other physicians involved in the care process, it is essential that this is an objective to be achieved and taught by future doctors. These reflections are an introduction to a subject whose study and improvement is both necessary and exciting.

There are also those who consider empathy as part of the personality of the individual, something that can be transformed; consequently, it may decline during the process of medical training or it may be improved through well-directed educational activities and there is no shortage of those who affirm that it is very difficult to teach or direct the learning of empathy [8].

The level of empathy can change, and in this case, it deteriorates. Intervening in this process would involve more than teaching new things - “teaching empathy” - in avoiding its attrition and loss [9].

Developing

Medicine is a profession at the service of the human being. Cultivating human values in general, and developing interpersonal skills and empathy, in particular, are fundamental elements to properly exercise the medical profession, there are times of rapid technological progress, in parallel, such a level of depersonalization in the medical relationship had never been reached patient.

Some authors study empathy -considered in the context of medical education and care for the sick- from the predominantly cognitive aspect, which includes understanding the patient’s experiences and concerns as well as the ability to communicate with them. It would be, in some way, how to approach the way of seeing the world that the other has, understand their perspective. From the educational point of view, the cognitive aspect of empathy becomes suggestive, because it seems possible to teach and learn it, to help, diminish or alleviate pain and suffering in the patient.

No one doubts the importance of the knowledge and skills that a health professional should have regarding the subject matter; however, develop, maintain and promote the ability of empathy [10-12], it is necessary to establish a good medical relationship patient and interaction with other health professionals. It seems that in practice these issues are not subject to effective attention in the years of academic preparation.

Halpern points out that empathy approaches the patient’s doctor who, in turn, manages to better expose their complaints and
concerns, facilitating diagnosis and treatment. Through empathy, the autonomy and safety of the patient are also strengthened, contributing to their recovery.

The empathy involves the behavior of the doctor and his attitude when facing the thoughts and feelings of the patient, as well as the way of communicating, which implies cordiality, affection, sensitivity. It is evident that if empathy facilitates the work of the doctor to take better care of the patient, this is an objective to be reached and taught by the future doctors.

The so-called erosion of empathy in health professionals, during the graduation course - a fact that the literature points out with worrying insistence - is a reality [13].

Studies cited from the 1960s to the end of the twentieth century in the United Kingdom and the United States of America consistently find low levels of empathy in health workers.

Different authors such as Hojat et al. [14], Hojat et al. [15,16], Shapiro [17], have found findings of impaired empathy and this has generated concern among educators in the health professions not only in terms of preventing deterioration but as to how to maintain empathy among the students.

Some studies suggest that a drastic transformation occurs in the medical student during his training process [18] in the first years, most of them overfull with enthusiasm and idealism for being a doctor, they are sensitive to the suffering of the patient, over the years, idealism seems to be forgotten and a process of “dehumanization” begins, in which the patient it happens to occupy a secondary role in medical practice [19].

The strong influence that the current model of medical training has on this dehumanization process cannot be denied. The technical-medical information is so great that there is hardly time to address the doctor-patient relationship. This metamorphosis (true disproportion) has been described as a traumatic loss of humanization [20] Therefore, it is necessary to think about a rehumanization process in which empathy in future doctors can be reached and taught by the future doctors.

When reflecting on the training process in light of the results obtained and the references of the literature, we observed some factors that could be involved in the erosion of empathy: the lack of adequate examples by the trainers; great amount of technical information to be transmitted with the consequent limitation of time to address the humanistic issues that delineate the doctor-patient relationship; the tension that arises between the ideals of the student and the daily clinical practice in which ethical doubt arises and the emotional overload that derives from contact with suffering.

The objectives are clear, but there are missing structures that are capable of measuring the results, we know what we want to teach, but we cannot evaluate how it is taught and, above all, if it is learned. Some of the instruments to evaluate empathy are the following: Interpersonal Reactivity Index developed by Davis, The Hogan Empathy Scale and the Emotional Empathy of Mehrabian and Epstein [21]. Other evaluation methods have been developed for nurses, such as the Empathy Construct Rating Scale, The Empathy Understanding of Interpersonal Processes Scale, The Empathy Subtest of the Relation Inventory and The Empathy Test.

In this way, educating emotions is an important task to promote empathy and ethical attitudes as a whole. In today’s universe, emotions are the main actors in the education scene; educate, therefore, will have to contemplate emotions, never ignore them, and learn to take advantage of them; place them in their true place, facilitating the catharsis and the free flow of them. Sharing emotions or protecting them in open discussions opens paths for a true affective reconstruction that current culture imposes.

Working with emotions to educate them involves a serious educational process in which they must, initially, identify and make themselves explicit; then evaluate its correct used to promote the doctor-patient relationship in the various clinical situations, and as an effective aid to keep the focus of the medical action on its true objective: the benefit of the patient.

Conclusion

Empathy is essential in the interaction between people, human beings are a social being, therefore requires constant communication with people of the same species. It is evident the erosion of the empathy of the health professional, very well documented is this phenomenon [22].

It is easy to see in the academic environment an increasingly determined search to develop resources that effectively help in the emotional and affective formation of students, a path that certainly tunes in with the promotion of empathy.

We should ask ourselves if the interventions in the educational process should not be directed, rather than teaching something new (to grow in empathy), to prevent its loss and motivate its development.
The concept of empathy in students of health sciences should be examined in more detail to establish exactly what is changing when the empathy scores of students begin to decrease.

The benefit of knowing how to intervene as soon as possible - the sooner the better - in this dimension of medical education is evident. It is convenient to comment that the aspects that integrate the behavior of the human being can be modeled and, consequently, feasible to be taught.

The importance of the development of empathy as an aspect of emotional competence seems to be a necessary factor that will train us more humane health professionals [23,24].
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