Epidemiology of Congenital Heart Defects in Iran: A Systematic Review
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Abstract

Background: Congenital heart diseases (CHDs) accounts for more than 30% of all major congenital anomalies worldwide. Regional factors play an important role in the prevalence and incidence of CHDs. The aim of this systematic review was to summarize the incidence and prevalence of CHDs in Iran

Data sources: Medline, EMBASE, Web of Sciences, CINHAL, Google Scholar and PubMed, as well as the Persian (language) databases, plus references listed from relevant articles have been searched to address the research question.

Eligibility criteria: Either original studies or reviews/meta-analysis reporting the prevalence or/and incidence of CHDs among live births in Iran, in which diagnosis of CHD had been based on, at least, physical examination and echocardiography for diagnosing CHDs.

Study appraisal: In this systematic review registered to PROSPERO, the Quality Assessment Tool for Observational Cohort and Cross-Sectional Studies was used to appraise the quality of related studies, and the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocol (PRISMA) was followed for reporting the results.

Result: Among 69 identified publications in English and Farsi, without time restrictions, only 4 studies were eligible to be included in the review. Totally, 114523 live births registered in the referral hospitals, for childbirth in Iran, had been examined for CHDs in which vary prevalence for CHDs were reported from 4 per 1000 in the North East to 8 per 1000 in the Northwest of the country.

Conclusions: high prevalent CHDs in Iran underscore the importance of culturally appropriate prevention and management strategies. Also, a wide range of CHD prevalence in different areas, in Iran, suggests epidemiological investigations, preferably a population-based study with adequate sample size or a study compromised all birth setting.
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Introduction

Congenital cardiac/heart anomalies or congenital heart disease/defects (CHDs) are important causes of early childhood death and disability [1-3]. These anomalies may involve cardiac valves or and cardiac internal walls or and the veins carrying blood from body and lungs to heart or and the arteries that transport blood from the heart to body and lungs [3]. Diagnosis of CHDs, mostly, is based on physical exam and echocardiography [4]. The cause of CHDs in about 80% of cases is unknown, even though many are attributable to genetic causes [1,5]. CHDs are frequently associated with chromosomal problems (e.g., Down syndrome), and the presence of parental consanguinity and extensive familial aggregation in patients with CHD is also noted [6]. Also, factors such as infections (e.g., rubella), diabetes [7], drugs (e.g., isotretinoin, valproate, and lithium), alcohol and smoking diagnosed as risk factors for CHD [8]. In the same way, regional characteristics probably play an important role in the incidence of CHDs [3].

A wide variety of CHDs, comprising anatomic or hemodynamic lesions, have been diagnosed and coded by the International Classification of Diseases (ICD10) [9]. The American Heart Association has listed the most common CHDs as following: aortic valve stenosis (AVS), atrial septal defect (ASD), coarctation of the aorta (CoA), complete atrioventricular canal defect (CAVC),
Cardiac defects, approximately, accounts for 30% of all major congenital anomalies, and many of cardiac surgery process worldwide. Evidence shows that CHD affects approximately 2-8 per 1000 live births in industrial countries [2,10,11]. However, unfortunately, we know little about CHDs in many countries including Iran.

In Iran, with borders facing Middle Eastern countries, the risk factors are higher which are impacting on a potentially larger incidence of congenital anomalies including CHDs. Specific cultural factors (e.g. consanguineous marriages) and being exposed to hazardous biochemical materials abused during and after the imposed war (1980-1988) [12] are two important predisposing factors. However, there is limited information about the epidemiology of CHD in Iran. It is supposed that the majority of Iranian papers have been published in Farsi language, hence, not available for international English language investigators. Therefore, a systematic review study was conducted aiming to review the published articles critically in order to estimate the prevalence and/or incidence of CHDs among live birth neonates in Iran.

Methods

A systematic review study was conducted in order to address the research question of "what is the prevalence and incidence of CHDs among live births in Iran? The protocol information of the study that has been registered in the PROSPERO database (register no= CRD42016042854), was developed according to Cochrane collaboration guideline for observational studies [13]. The PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses) was followed for data extraction and analysis [14].

Inclusion criteria

The criteria for a study to be included in this review were; 1. Reporting the prevalence/incidence of total CHD or/and a CHD subtype, 2. Being an original study (retrospective or perspective) including case controls, cohorts and cross-sectional, as well as systematic reviews or meta-analysis if any, 3. The results must be published in a peer reviewed journal either in Persian or English, 4. In which the diagnosis of CHD was based on, at least, physical examination and echocardiography, and, fifth, being conducted on the live births in Iran.

Searching literature

After framing the research question, two investigators, with the assistance of a professional medical librarian, undertook an extensive search for potentially eligible articles published in various medical databases comprising Web of Science (ISI), Medline, PubMed, Scopus, Embase, ScienceDirect, CINAHL, Google Scholar and Iranian medical databases ( ISC , SID, Iran Medex, Magiran, Medlib) containing articles mostly published in Farsi (language) and therefore missed by English language databases. Also, references listed from relevant articles were hand searched for related papers. To identify further information related to the research question, institutional web sites, as well as grey literatures, were reviewed. The primary searches were limited to papers from early 1995 to September 2016; however, due to limited relevant papers the time limitation was removed, and search included all related articles published till November 2016.

Research strategy

In order to find relevant studies, a logical combination of the keywords of “epidemiology”, “incidence”, “prevalence”, “Iran” and epidemiological research methods and the mesh terms for “congenital heart defect/disease” or one of the most common CHD subtypes listed below were applied to extract related articles. The terms of “Ventricular Septal Defect (VSD)”, “atrial septal defect (ASD)”, “pulmonary stenosis (PS)”, “patent ductus arteriosus (PDA)”, “tetralogy of Fallot (TOP)”, “transposition of the great arteries (TGA)”, “aortic stenosis (AoS)”, “aortic valve stenosis (AVS)”, “coarctation of the aorta (CoA)”, “complete atrioventricular canal defect”, “transposition of the great arteries”, “Ebstein’s anomaly”, “hypoplastic left heart syndrome”, “pulmonary atresia”, “pulmonary valve stenosis (PS)” and “single ventricle defects” were the subtypes that were used in the combinations. Specific research strategy for each database, if applicable, was used. For example, in order to extract data from the article cited in the Ovid database (Medline) we followed its specific search strategy (Appendix A).

Article selection

As Figure 1, a PRISMA Flowchart, displays, in the primary searches, 69 papers were retrieved. After excluding the repeated titles and those that obviously were irrelevant, the abstracts of articles were reviewed in order to obtain an overall understanding into the studies and more information regarding study designs and the aim seeking for. In these two steps, 45 out of 67 papers were excluded from our study. The two investigators reviewed the rest articles separately. In-depth review of full texts resulted in selecting 8 articles for quality appraisal, and finally, 4 papers were included in the final analysing Figure 1 and Table 1.

Assessment for risk of bias

The Quality Assessment Tool for Observational Cohort and Cross-Sectional Studies (QATOCCS) was used [15] to appraise the quality of included studies, critically Out of 14 items from Cochrane Observational Tool for Observational Systematic Review, five items fitted to our study subjects were; “Was the research question or objective in this paper clearly stated?”, “Was the study population clearly specified and defined?”, “Was the participation rate of eligible persons at least 50%?”, “Were all the subjects selected or recruited from the same or similar populations (including the same

time period)?”, “Were inclusion and exclusion criteria for being in the study specified and applied uniformly to all participants?”. The quality rating used were Good, Fair, or Poor [15] The most important item for us was the Pa Co Co (Participant, Condition, Context) [16] considered for each study in the Reviewing Table 1. Any study that did not meet any of these three criteria was excluded from the review.

Results

Among 69 papers obtained during the primary searching in the electronic medical databases including English and Farsi, without time limitation, only 4 studies (from 1994-2012) were eligible to be included in this review Table 1. The rest were excluded due to being irrelevant or/and not answer to the research question appropriately or/and not meet one or more inclusion criterion, or low-quality research method. However, to avoid missing any valuable information, we have reported the results of 3 other studies that were not eligible because of reporting CHD prevalence among both live and stillbirths non mentioning the diagnostic methods, or poor methodology Table 2. The included studies had been conducted in the various area across the country where people living with various ethnicity. Totally 60923 live birth babies had been examined by the 4 studies included in this review. Settings were referral hospitals in the different region of Iran with almost similar ethnicity but different culture and languages. One of the studies did not classify subtypes of CHDs in the results. Minimum prevalence (4 per 1000) was reported Mohsenzadeh et al. [17] in western Iran, and Maximum prevalence (16 per 1000) was from Mirzarahimi [18] in the Northwest of Iran Table 1. The three other studies aiming to determine the prevalence of other congenital anomalies (e.g., such as nervous system) or congenital anomalies as a general term rather than specific terms, were retrieved had reported a little information about CHDs. Hence, they have not reported the details of information about the epidemiology of CHDs Table 2.

Table 1: Characteristics of the included papers in the current review study aiming to estimate the prevalence of CHDs in live births in Iran

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N</th>
<th>Author / Ref</th>
<th>context or specific setting</th>
<th>Research method</th>
<th>Condition (disease)</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Population (participants)</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Prevalence / n/1000</th>
<th>The most frequent subtypes respectively</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Rahim. et al. (22)</td>
<td>of Ahwaz, Khuzestan Province</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>Prevalence of CHDs</td>
<td>1998-2007</td>
<td>Live Birth</td>
<td>3061</td>
<td>*CE; **EC; ***CD;</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>ASD (19.54%), TOF (16.99%), PDA (17.97%), Shunt (11.47%) and VSD (11.07%)</td>
<td>4.5 and 13.19 per 1000 in 2007 and 2008; (for male=9.96 and female = 7.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Nikyar (2011) (23)</td>
<td>Deziani hospital in Gorgan</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>Prevalence of CHDs</td>
<td>2007 and 2008</td>
<td>Live Birth</td>
<td>11739</td>
<td>CE; EC; CD; and ***CC</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>ASD (26%), VSD+ASD (13%) and PDA (13%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Mohsenzadeh et al. (17)</td>
<td>Khoram abad Kourdish</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>Prevalence of CHDs</td>
<td>2006-2011</td>
<td>Live births</td>
<td>43195</td>
<td>CE, EC</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>VSD (44%), ASD (21%), PS (5.4%), ASD+VSD (5%) VSD+PS, PDA, AS, TGA, VSD+TGA, SV, TF</td>
<td>Male 2 times females</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Mirzahimi (18)</td>
<td>Ardabil-Imam khomeini and Alavi hospitals</td>
<td>Prevalence of CHDs</td>
<td>2006 to 2007</td>
<td>Live Birth</td>
<td>2928</td>
<td>CE, EC</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>VSD, PDA</td>
<td>9.9(61.7%) female and 6.4(38.3%) male</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Characteristics of the papers not eligible to be included in the review, but with notable information regarding CHDs epidemiology in Iran

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N</th>
<th>Author/Ref</th>
<th>context or specific setting</th>
<th>Research method</th>
<th>Condition (disease)</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Population (participants)</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Prevalence / n/1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ghahramani et al. (24)</td>
<td>Gonabad (22 Bahman Hospital)</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>Congenital anomalies</td>
<td>1994-2001</td>
<td>Live births</td>
<td>12319</td>
<td>CE, EC</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mashhadi et al. (25)</td>
<td>Tabriz, Rural area -Community-based</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>Congenital anomalies</td>
<td>Between 2004 and 2012</td>
<td>Live Birth</td>
<td>22500</td>
<td>CE, *2DE CD, and CC</td>
<td>1.42s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ahmadzadeh et al. (26)</td>
<td>Ahvaz Arvand Hospital</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>Congenital anomalies</td>
<td>2004-2006</td>
<td>Live birth</td>
<td>4660</td>
<td>CE, EC</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In conducting the study, we followed PRISMA-P checklist and flowchart [19] We found several appropriate appraisal tools but finally [20, 21]. In selecting and appraising critically the related studies the Cochrane group tool for the observational study has been used [20].

Table 1 shows the characteristics (such as Author/Reference, context or specific setting, research method, condition (disease), duration, population (participants), sample size, diagnosis, prevalence number per 1000 live birth, the most frequent subtypes respectively) of 4 papers from Rahimi et al. [22], Niyar [23], Mohsenzadeh et al. [17] and Mirzarahimi [18] which have been inculded in the final stage of the review study. In these studies, the means for diagnosis CHD had been Clinical examination (CE), and Echocardiography (EC) and/or Color Doppler (CD) and/or Cardiac Catheterization (CC).

Table 2 demonstrates the characteristics of 3 papers that were not be eligible to be included in the final analysis of the review, but with notable information regarding CHDs epidemiology in Iran. They are from Ghahramani et al. [24], Mashhadi et al [25] and Ahmadzadeh et al. [26]. In these studies, the means for diagnosis CHD had been Clinical examination (CE), and Echocardiography (EC) and/or Color Doppler (CD) and/or Cardiac Catheterization (CC) and/or 2D-echocardiography (2DE).

**Discussion**

This study was undertaken to estimate the prevalence and incidence of congenital heart defects (CHD) in Iran. The Finding showed a wide range of CHD prevalence, from 4 per 1000 to 16 per1000, across geographical distributions which are a vast range of prevalence of CHDs in different parts of the country.

The results of the current study indicated that the prevalence of CHDs in Iran is significantly higher than in other nations (4-8 per 1000 live births) [2, 10,11,27,28] This huge difference would be more considerable if the studies conducted by Mashhadi et al. [25], Ghahramani et al. [24] and Ahmadzadeh et al [26] aiming to estimate the prevalence of congenital anomalies rather than focusing on CHDs only, which were excluded due to insufficient information, became included. This big difference could be explained by environmental factors, ethnic origin or cultural factors vary in different parts of Iran. However, the health system researchers are engaged to search inclusively for the reasons behind such a wide range between the prevalence of CHD in different areas.

Regardless of the wide variety in CHD prevalence in different regions of Iran, these results may persuade health policymakers and health care providers to pay more attention to provide prenatal care and adequate consultation before people marriage or getting pregnant. Suggested strategies to prevent CHDs may include vaccination against rubella and other communicable diseases before pregnancy, control of chronic medical conditions (e.g., diabetes), monitoring of medicine with specific side effects (e.g., antiepileptic drugs), encouraging women to avoid being exposed to harmful substances (e.g., some materials used in cleaning and painting) during their pregnancy and, avoid taking herbs, dietary supplements and medicine without consulting their doctors. In addition, stop smoking and drinking alcohol is strongly suggested. Also, taking enough folic acid (400 micrograms daily) from at least one mount before and during pregnancy can prevent some congenital defects including CHDs.

**Limitation**

The main limitation for this review was of the low number of high-quality population-based studies illustrating epidemiological aspects of CHD in Iran, therefore, this makes it difficult to estimate prevalence/incidence. In addition, the limited information reported in the included studies may cause difficulty in quality assessment and assessing the risk of bias in each study. This limitation, mainly, for two studies which had reported the prevalence of CHD, just as a part of their main research seeking for whole congenital abnormalities, was significant. Although the included studies met the required inclusion criteria, the quality was variable. Although these data are specific to Iran, these data may provide useful insight into epidemiological characteristics of neighboring countries in the Middle East.

The limited accurate information about the epidemiology of CHDs might be due to various reasons. Poor or insufficient diagnostic facilities, ineffective diseases surveillance system, the failure of researches to be published or published resulted in being unavailable for international researchers might be likely causes. Denisevan der Linde et al. had conducted a meta-analysis in 2011 to estimate CHD prevalence across the word. They concluded that the information about CHD in the less developed countries is limited [12]. Actually, they have not found any eligible study from Iran. This is not surprising because the studies that were retrieved in our review study were mostly published in Persian databases that are not available for English speakers. Because of a higher rate of predisposing factors such as rubella (German measles) and consanguineous marriages are presented in developing countries [12], despite less information about CHD epidemiology in these regions, the prevalence of CHD likely is higher.

**Conclusion**

This review study showed that in spite of studies conducted on different aspects of CHD in Iran, there are limited high-quality studies reporting epidemiological aspects of CHD, Undertaking studies using robust methods, such as the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) Statement: Guidelines for Reporting Observational Studies Population [29] is needed. In addition, studies, specifically looking for CHD subtypes, are needed to obtain a reliable estimation of not only incidence and prevalence but management strategies in Iran.
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