



Toxicological Concerns of Nanomaterials: Human Health and Environmental Impacts

Mohammad Al-Zu'bi*

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, College of Engineering, Design and Physical Sciences, Brunel University of London, UB8 3PH, UK

***Corresponding author:** Mohammad Al-Zu'bi, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, College of Engineering, Design and Physical Sciences, Brunel University of London, UB8 3PH, UK [orcid=0000-0002-8788-5440](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8788-5440)

Received Date: September 03, 2025

Published Date: September 24, 2025

Introduction

Nanomaterials have been increasingly applied across multiple scientific and industrial domains due to their unique physiochemical properties. However, their potential adverse effects on human health and the environment have become a critical concern [1-12]. The toxicological impact of nanomaterials is influenced by multiple factors, including their type, morphology, size, surface chemistry, electrical, magnetic, and optical properties, as well as their crystalline structure, aggregation, concentration, dispersion state, and synthesis methods [1-3]. Certain nanomaterials, such as carbon nanotubes (CNTs), have been observed to exhibit higher toxicity compared to other nanoparticles like carbon black, silver, alumina (Al_2O_3), iron oxide (Fe_2O_3), silicon nitride (Si_3N_4), and zirconia (ZrO_2). Moreover, the toxicity of CNTs varies according to their type, diameter, and surface functionalities. Similarly, silver nanoparticles display greater toxic potential relative to iron oxide, alumina, zirconia, and asbestos [4]. In addition, higher concentrations of nanomaterials generally lead to increased toxicity [5], and the presence of impurities or incomplete synthesis can further exacerbate these effects [6]. This mini-review aims to summarize the current knowledge on the health and environmental impacts of nanomaterials and to highlight future research directions for their safe and sustainable application.

Impacts on Human Health

The toxicity of nanomaterials represents a significant challenge for professionals involved in their development, including scientists, chemists, and engineers. Documented toxic

effects encompass genotoxicity, cytotoxicity, dermal toxicity, carcinogenicity, pulmonary toxicity, cardiovascular toxicity, hepatic toxicity, immunotoxicity, and haemolytic toxicity [7, 8]. Beyond these, nanomaterials may also affect the central nervous system, causing malfunctions in multiple organ systems and potentially leading to neurotoxicity and immunotoxicity [6]. This broad spectrum of adverse health outcomes underscores the need for careful handling and comprehensive risk assessment in research and industrial applications.

Environmental Impacts

Nanomaterials are also associated with detrimental effects on environmental systems. For instance, iron nanoparticles can accumulate in organisms, inducing apoptosis, reactive oxygen species generation, and oxidative stress [9]. In sediment and soil, nanoparticles may be absorbed by particles and undergo biotic and abiotic degradation, ultimately being released into water bodies [10-11]. Experimental studies using nano-cerium oxide (n-CeO_2) demonstrated that low concentrations reduced organism life span by 12%, while higher concentrations caused an 18% reduction [12]. Furthermore, nanoparticles can translocate from plant roots to leaves and edible parts, posing potential toxicity risks along the food chain. Nanomaterials also impact atmospheric and marine environments; their release can contribute to dust cloud formation, and marine organisms, including unicellular species, are susceptible to toxicity. Specifically, nano-ZnO and nano-TiO₂ can disrupt bacterial cell membranes, causing adverse effects on marine bacteria [6].

Despite these concerns, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) notes that assessing nanomaterial toxicity is challenging due to their unique chemical properties, high reactivity, and low dispersion in liquids. Environmental samples may experience changes in nanomaterial properties between collection and analysis, complicating the identification of their origin [6]. Nevertheless, these challenges are generally less critical once nanomaterials are incorporated into retrofitting systems after manufacturing.

Conclusions and Future Research Directions

In summary, nanomaterials exhibit significant toxic potential for both human health and the environment. Their adverse effects are influenced by multiple factors, including type, size, concentration, surface characteristics, and synthesis method. Detecting and characterizing these toxicities remains challenging due to the high reactivity and complex behavior of nanomaterials in biological and environmental systems.

Looking forward, future research should continue to advance recent investigations on the use of carbon- and silicon-based nanomaterials to improve the mechanical, thermal, and microstructural characteristics of epoxy and cement-based adhesives in fiber-reinforced polymer (FRP)-retrofitted systems [13-15]. Initial studies on nanomaterial-enhanced epoxy adhesives (NMEAs) in near-surface mounted (NSM)-FRP retrofitting applications have demonstrated notable improvements in structural performance [16], while subsequent reviews have emphasized the essential role of bonding agents in controlling bond behavior [17]. Further work has explored the effectiveness of different bonding agents for repairing heat-damaged concrete and optimizing FRP retrofitting strategies across various conditions [18-23]. Together, these findings lay a foundation for interdisciplinary progress, integrating nanomaterial design, structural engineering, and environmental safety, and guiding the development of sustainable, high-performance retrofitting solutions.

Acknowledgements

None.

Conflict of interest

No conflict of interest.

References

1. Aillon KL, Xie Y, El-Gendy N, Berkland CJ, Forrest ML (2009) Effects of nanomaterial physicochemical properties on in vivo toxicity. *Advanced drug delivery reviews* 61(6): 457-466.
2. Gatoo MA, Naseem S, Arfat MY, Mahmood Dar A, Qasim K at al. (2014) Physicochemical properties of nanomaterials: implication in associated toxic manifestations. *BioMed research international*.
3. Gao X, Lowry GV (2018) Progress towards standardized and validated characterizations for measuring physicochemical properties of manufactured nanomaterials relevant to nano health and safety risks. *Nano Impact* 9: 14-30.
4. Zhang H, Gilbert B, Huang F, Banfield JF (2003) Water-driven structure transformation in nanoparticles at room temperature. *Nature* 424(6952): 1025-1029.
5. Carlson C, Hussain SM, Schrand AM, K Braydich-Stolle L, Hess KL, et al. (2008) Unique cellular interaction of silver nanoparticles: size-dependent generation of reactive oxygen species. *The journal of physical chemistry B* 112(43): 13608-13619.
6. Mazari SA, Ali E, Abro R, Khan FSA, Ahmed I, et al. (2021) Nanomaterials: Applications, waste-handling, environmental toxicities, and future challenges-A review. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering* 9(2): 105028.
7. Lalwani G, Dagati M, Khan AM, Sitharaman B (2016) Toxicology of graphene-based nanomaterials. *Advanced drug delivery reviews* 105: 109-144.
8. Chen Z, Han S, Zhou S, Feng H, Liu Y et al. (2020) Review of health safety aspects of titanium dioxide nanoparticles in food application. *Nano Impact* 18: 100224.
9. Sidiropoulou E, Feidantsis K, Kalogiannis S, Gallios GP, Kastrinaki G, et al. (2018) Insights into the toxicity of iron oxides nanoparticles in land snails. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part C: Toxicology & Pharmacology* 206: 1-10.
10. Boxall AB, Tiede K, Chaudhry Q (2007) Engineered nanomaterials in soils and water: how do they behave and could they pose a risk to human health? *2*(6): 919-27.
11. Pan B, Xing B (2012) Applications and implications of manufactured nanoparticles in soils: a review. *European Journal of Soil Science* 63(4): 437-456.
12. Antisari LV, Carbone S, Bosi S, Gatti A, Dinelli G (2018) Engineered nanoparticles effects in soil-plant system: Basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) study case. *Applied soil ecology* 123: 551-560.
13. M Al-Zu'bi, M Fan, L Anguilano (2022) Advances in bonding agents for retrofitting concrete structures with fibre reinforced polymer materials: A review, *Constr. Build. Mater* 330: 127115.
14. M Al-Zu'bi, L Anguilano, M Fan (2023) Effect of incorporating carbon- and silicon-based nanomaterials on the physico-chemical properties of a structural epoxy adhesive, *Polym. Test* 128: 108221.
15. M Al-Zu'bi, L Anguilano, M Fan (2023) Carbon-based and silicon-based nanomaterials for enhanced structural adhesives," *Solid State Phenom* 354: 151-159.
16. M Al-Zu'bi, M Fan, L Anguilano (2024) Near-surface mounted-FRP flexural retrofitting of concrete members using nanomaterial-modified epoxy adhesives, *J. Build. Eng* 84: 108549.
17. M Al-Zu'bi, M Fan, E Bertolesi, L Anguilano (2024) A review on retrofitting concrete members with near-surface mounted-fiber reinforced polymer composites, *Struct. Concr* 25(3): 2242-2268.
18. M Shhabat, M Al-Zu'bi, MT Abdel-Jaber (2024) A review of repairing heat-damaged RC beams using externally bonded-and near-surface mounted-CFRP composites, *Compos. Part C Open Access*, vol. 100519.
19. A Ashteyat, M Shhabat, A Alkhalaileh, M Al-Zu'bi, MT Abdel-Jaber (2025) Behavior of ultra-high-performance concrete under elevated temperatures: A comprehensive review of mechanical, physical, thermal, and microstructural properties, *Results Eng* 26: 104960.
20. M Al-Zu'bi, M Fan, Y Al Rjoub, A Ashteyat, MJ Al-Kheetan, L Anguilano (2021) The effect of length and inclination of carbon fiber reinforced polymer laminates on shear capacity of near-surface mounted retrofitted reinforced concrete beams, *Struct. Concr* 22(6): 3677-3691.
21. M Al-Zu'bi, M Fan, L Anguilano (2023) Parametric investigation of flexural performance of concrete prisms retrofitted with near-surface mounted FRP bars, *Compos. Part C Open Access* 12: 100421.
22. M Al-Zu'bi (2025) Next-Generation Bonding Agents for Sustainable FRP Retrofitting of Concrete Structures.
23. M Al-Zu'bi (2025) Nanomaterial-modified epoxy adhesives for structural retrofitting, *Res. Dev. Mater. Sci* 21(3): 2539-2540.