ISSN: 2692-5397
Modern Concepts in Material Science
DOI: 10.33552/MCMS.2021.04.000577
Iris Publishers

Mini Review

Core Technologies to Produce Zero-Carbon Cement and Concrete

Natt Makul*

Department of Civil Engineering Technology, Phranakhon Rajabhat University, Thailand

***Corresponding author:** Department of Civil Engineering Technology, Faculty of Industrial Technology, Phranakhon Rajabhat University, Changwattana Road, Bangkhen, Bangkok 10220, Thailand.

Received Date: July 15, 2021
Published Date: August 03, 2021

Abstract

A major ingredient in concretes, cement is the second-largest industrial emitter of carbon dioxide after steel and iron industry and accounts for around 7% of global emissions of carbon dioxide. Cement plants that fail to adopt improved energy efficiencies and low-carbon processes might face dangers in the form of lost opportunity costs and possible punishments and charges for non-compliance by failing to innovate processes, with regulations of carbon emissions tightening internationally to meet the 2DS (two-degree scenario) targets. Low carbon cement in general majorly consists of non-biodegradable industrial wastes, reduces emissions of carbon dioxide, and saves energy. It replaces ordinary Portland cement (partially or fully) and this ultimately reduces carbon dioxide emissions. Most of the industrial wastes are in pulverized form hence energy consumed for production is saved. It utilizes non-biodegradable industrial waste. Therefore, the dumping challenges shall be solved hence water and soil pollution shall be drastically reduced. This critical review focuses on the current development of materials and technologies to produce zero carbon cement with decreased production of carbon dioxide relative to conventional methods blended with concretes of Portland cements. The study topic under this perspective aims to promote the sections of sustainable designs and constructions of frontiers in structural materials and build environments, offering suitable advancements in the production of zero carbon cement.

Introduction

The low carbon cement replaces ordinary Portland cement which impacts considerably over the energy consumption and global carbon dioxide emission. Several difficulties remain in completely embracing these transitions despite increasing interests in technologies of low-carbon cement. There are lacks supports from various governments to persuade cement producer to improve their investment in new technology [1]. The zero carbon cement is eco-friendly and protects the environments by utilizing industrial residual materials as partial substitutions of cements.

Production of concretes and cement are among the most emitters of carbon dioxide (CO_2) [2]. Because more than fifty percent of cement production carbon dioxide emission are processes-associated, the manufacturing of cement and concretes is hard to decarbonize, even though it accounts for 4% to 8% of total emission of anthropogenic carbon dioxide. Nonetheless, modern materials and technologies are being studied to minimize the footprints of carbon dioxide in this industry [3]. This includes the applications of alternative concretes, cements, and mortars and recycled aggregates (concretes) with lesser releases of carbon dioxide, and substances and technologies for sequestrations of carbons.

Zero Carbon Cement

Use of quantum material in the production of zero-carbon portland cement

The concentration of this research is the combined impacts of quantum material to substitute ordinary Portland cements. The measured slump flow should range from 70.0 ± 2.5 cm for the high-performance self-consolidating concretes (SCC) prepared with ordinary Portland cement and quantum material such as rice husk ashes (water-binder substances) and the SCC production targeted slump flow. A detailed research is carried out in this investigation that includes test to establish the durability, hardened, and fresh characteristics of SCC specimens. The findings and results show that compared to those of control SCC, quantum material utilized to substitute ordinary Portland to the specified level had positive impacts on the self-consolidating concretes with rice husk ashes, improves the setting times and needed quantities of superplasticizers and reduces the SCC slump flow. While decreasing the durability, the quantum material incorporations reduce segregations, filling, and passing abilities of SCC. In conclusion, the quantum material -SCC generated met the durability SCC requirements and indicated the greatest splitting and compressive tensile strength of all the samples tested in comparison with the traditional SCC.

Zero carbon cement production

Multiple steps are implemented to decrease carbon dioxide emission as per Kania's model. Kania proposed decreasing clinkers to the cement ratios by adding different additives [4]. Though, this ratio for the ending produces of the cement plant is now less around 0.57 there is no surety that it will further reduce if blended with good performance and reliability features as the present Portland cement is manufactured. The models also proposed applying green energies that can help to play a major role to decrease CO2 emissions [5]. Another gain is the reductions in the production energy costs of the cements. It also ecologically friendly model of applying wastes. It also will enhance the efficiencies of the energy of the kiln procedure which is one of the techniques to reduce carbon emission. Finally, co-generating synthetic energy is a step that could mix with renewable energy and manufacturing cement procedures, also recycle carbon dioxide from gases will lessen the production of carbon dioxide notably [6]. Furthermost of these steps are dominated by environmental strategy and lawful framework and incorporation of these steps are only possible if the strategy caters to the cost-effectiveness of the available tools and technology.

Countries are opting more to adopt such measures to make and adopt such plans that can help to lessen the production of CO2 to take an account such plans that cater climate change and related opinions and monitoring, expansion and research also to report frequently the change in climate activities [6]. The cement industry efficiency energy is examined in such a manner that the particular usage of energy of the exact cement factory is linked with the benchmark fuel consumption. This consumption is used to analyze the enhancement in the competence of the production procedure of the cement. The present normal particular usage of thermal energies of kiln procedures is 3.70 GJ/t clinkers [1]. There are still rooms for improvement of the efficiency of energy and the next step needs to be taken to further design efficiencies by including calcines before kilns.

It is one of the most important projects of the EU to lessen the release of CO2 from the cement sector and they are taking all steps to adapt alternatives to save ecology [5]. This study evaluated the ecological effectiveness of 3 various CO2 emission steps that were taken and were given priority. Stages taken were utilizing alternative green energy, efficient kiln procedures, and generating synthetic energies in the industry. The findings suggest that about 0.2 tons of carbon can be avoided in 2020, that is 1.7 or 40% of CO2 emission [4]. Steps to reduce the emission can prove to be a very important part to meet the said target and its implementation of the mitigation steps that can help to produce more sustainable cement.

The formulations of low carbon cements presented in this journal enable to improve the substitutions of clinkers to fifty percent without affecting the performances [3]. These represent reductions of approximately 30% of the emissions of carbon dioxide associated with the production of the cements as presented in Table 1.

The facilities of clinker production contain only rotary kilns of wet-processes. The factories are no longer using their clinkers due to their low efficiencies. The clinkers utilize are now equipped with higher productivity dry rotary kiln. Also, the production strategies foresee clay calcinations at cement plants in wet processes of retrofitted cement kilns. This can permit utilization of the current generation infrastructures such as clay calcinations, cement crushing and storing in low carbon cement sectors and yet accomplish certain level of efficiencies in the processes.

Highest performance in the production of zero-carbon portland cement

For advanced applications in constructions of modern concretes, zero-carbon Portland cement is high-performance self-consolidating concrete [1]. Zero-carbon Portland cement has high performance properties, particularly when in its fluid state. It passes congested reinforcing steels without either excessive bleeding or segregation. It is poured into complicated mold in its fluid state [8,9]. Zero-carbon Portland cement can therefore design long-term and strong-early durability and mechanical characteristics.

Conclusion

By the applications of ternary system founded on clinkers; limestones and calcined clays, there are alternative available to the current clinker substitution boundaries for the blended cement manufacture. Behind this proposal, the principle is the synergy between limestones and calcined clays that decrease clinker factors and allows improving the supplemental aggregate material reactivity. These models are based on the applications average grade kaolinite clays. Only minor variations need to make to the processes of the production. The new concrete systems might allow emission reductions related to the production of cements in the ranges of 24% to 35% associated with business as usual practices. These reductions are based on substituting clinkers that are major emitter of carbon dioxide, by combinations of substances whose emission are insignificant to clinkers.

Acknowledgement

None.

Conflict of Interest

No conflict of interest.

References

1. Makul N (2020) Modern sustainable cement and concrete composites: Review of current status, challenges and guidelines. Sustainable Materials and Technologies 25: e00155.
2. Pacewska B, Wilińska I (2020) Usage of supplementary cementitious materials: advantages and limitations. Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry 142(1): 371-393.
3. Yixin Shao (2014) Beneficial Use of Carbon Dioxide in Precast Concrete Production. Montreal, QC, Canada.
4. Pacheco-Torgal F (2017) High tech startup creation for energy efficient built environment. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 71: 618-629.
5. Sinka M, Van den Heede P, De Belie N, Bajare D, Sahmenko G, et al. (2018) Comparative life cycle assessment of magnesium binders as an alternative for hemp concrete. Resources, Conservation and Recycling 133: 288-299.
6. Abu Saleh S (2014) Development of Sustainable and Low Carbon Concretes for the Gulf Environment.
7. Heinz O, Heinz H (2021) Cement Interfaces: Current Understanding, Challenges, and Opportunities. Langmuir 37(21): 6347-6356.