

**Mini Review***Copyright © All rights are reserved by Reda Moselhi Ahmed Ismail*

Generative AI and Strategic Management: Emerging Opportunities and Challenges for Organizations

Reda Moselhi Ahmed Ismail**Assistant Professor of Business Administration, Strategic Management & Smart Business Management, Quality Assurance, Academic Accreditation and Institutional Effectiveness Consultant, Egypt*

***Corresponding author:** Reda Moselhi Ahmed Ismail, Assistant Professor of Business Administration, Strategic Management & Smart Business Management, Quality Assurance, Academic Accreditation and Institutional Effectiveness Consultant, Egypt

Received Date: September 09, 2026**Published Date: September 24, 2026****Abstract**

Generative Artificial Intelligence (GenAI) is rapidly changing how organizations create knowledge, analyse information, generate alternatives, and support managerial decision-making. Unlike traditional analytical technologies that primarily process predefined data, GenAI can generate text, ideas, scenarios, analyses, and strategic alternatives, creating new possibilities for strategic management. This mini-review examines the emerging opportunities and challenges of integrating GenAI into strategic management, with particular attention to strategic decision-making, environmental analysis, innovation, organizational learning, and managerial productivity. Recent research indicates that GenAI can enhance strategic analysis and decision support, but its outputs may also involve inconsistency, bias, hallucinations, privacy risks, and intellectual-property concerns. Therefore, sustainable strategic value does not result from adopting GenAI alone; it depends on integrating the technology with human judgment, organizational capabilities, data readiness, strategic alignment, and effective governance. The article argues that organizations should move beyond viewing GenAI as a productivity tool and develop it as an emerging strategic capability supported by human oversight and organizational governance.

Keywords: Generative artificial intelligence; strategic management; strategic decision-making; organizational capabilities; innovation; ai governance

Introduction

The rapid development of Generative Artificial Intelligence (GenAI) represents a major technological shift with implications extending beyond automation and productivity. GenAI systems can generate content, summarize information, develop ideas, construct scenarios, and support knowledge-intensive activities. Consequently, their strategic relevance is increasingly connected to how organizations analyse environments, formulate strategies, evaluate alternatives, innovate, and develop organizational capabilities. [1] emphasize that GenAI differs from earlier forms of artificial intelligence because it can generate new content and support a broad range of organizational activities.

The central strategic question is therefore not simply whether organizations should adopt GenAI, but how they can transform its technological potential into sustainable strategic value. Evidence suggests that GenAI can support the evaluation of strategic alternatives, although individual AI evaluations may be inconsistent or biased. However, aggregated AI evaluations can, under certain conditions, become more comparable with assessments made by human experts [2]. This suggests that GenAI is most appropriately viewed as a decision-support capability rather than an autonomous replacement for managerial judgment.

Recent research further indicates that organizations face

difficulties in moving GenAI from experimentation and isolated pilots toward embedded decision systems. Leadership support, workforce training, secure platforms, experimentation, and investment facilitate implementation, while strategic ambiguity, data limitations, hallucinations, privacy, and intellectual-property concerns may constrain it [3]. Thus, the strategic value of GenAI depends on the organizational conditions in which it is deployed.

Generative AI as an Emerging Strategic Capability

A strategic capability represents an organization's ability to deploy resources and respond effectively to environmental change. GenAI can contribute to such capabilities by accelerating information processing, supporting knowledge creation, expanding strategic alternatives, and facilitating organizational learning. However, access to an AI platform does not itself constitute a strategic capability. The technology must be integrated into organizational processes, managerial routines, human expertise, and governance mechanisms. This distinction between technology adoption and capability development is critical. An organization may introduce GenAI without changing its strategic processes, resulting primarily in individual productivity gains rather than strategic transformation. Strategic value emerges when AI capabilities are deliberately connected to organizational objectives, decision processes, knowledge, and competitive priorities. This view is consistent with the automation–augmentation perspective proposed by [4]. Rather than assuming that artificial intelligence will simply replace managers, organizations can use AI to augment human capabilities while retaining human responsibility for complex decisions. GenAI can therefore strengthen managerial cognition by expanding the range of alternatives considered and accelerating scenario exploration.

Emerging Opportunities for Strategic Management

GenAI creates several important opportunities for strategic management. First, it can support strategic decision-making by generating alternatives, comparing strategic options, identifying potential risks, and exploring different assumptions. [2] show that GenAI can contribute to evaluating strategic alternatives, particularly when AI-generated assessments are aggregated and complemented by human evaluation. Its value therefore lies in expanding managerial analysis rather than replacing the final decision-maker. Second, GenAI can strengthen environmental scanning and strategic analysis. Organizations face large volumes of market, technological, competitive, and regulatory information. GenAI can assist managers in synthesizing such information and identifying strategic themes, supporting activities such as scenario development, competitor analysis, and strategic issue identification. Nevertheless, AI-generated information must be verified because fluent outputs may contain factual errors. Third, GenAI offers opportunities for innovation and strategic creativity. It can generate product concepts, business-model alternatives, communication approaches, and possible solutions to organizational problems. Its strategic value does not necessarily depend on producing superior ideas independently; rather, it can expand the range of alternatives available for human evaluation. Human experience combined with

AI-generated possibilities may therefore increase the breadth of strategic exploration.

Fourth, GenAI can support organizational learning and knowledge management by helping employees access, summarize, explain, and reorganize information. This can accelerate knowledge sharing and transform information into usable managerial insights. However, organizations must ensure that AI-generated knowledge is connected to reliable data and validated expertise. Finally, GenAI can improve managerial productivity by reducing the time required for routine knowledge-intensive activities, including drafting reports, summarizing documents, preparing preliminary analyses, and developing scenarios. Such gains can enable managers to devote greater attention to leadership, negotiation, relationships, judgment, and strategic thinking. The strongest strategic value may therefore come from reallocating managerial attention rather than replacing managerial work.

Strategic Challenges and Risks

Despite these opportunities, GenAI introduces several strategic risks. The first is reliability and inconsistency. GenAI systems can produce convincing outputs that are inaccurate or inconsistent. [2] demonstrate that individual AI evaluations can contain bias and variability. Organizations should therefore avoid treating AI-generated recommendations as objective or inherently superior to human assessments. The second challenge involves privacy, intellectual property, and information security. Strategic decisions often depend on sensitive information concerning customers, employees, competitors, financial performance, intellectual property, and future investments. Inappropriate use of external AI platforms may expose sensitive information or create uncertainty concerning ownership and reuse of generated content. Privacy and intellectual-property concerns are consequently important barriers to embedding GenAI into strategic decision systems [3].

The third challenge is managerial overreliance. Excessive dependence on AI-generated recommendations may weaken critical evaluation and encourage managers to accept apparently sophisticated outputs without sufficient scrutiny. This is particularly problematic in strategic decisions involving uncertainty, ethical considerations, stakeholder interests, and long-term consequences. Human judgment therefore remains essential where decisions involve values and consequences that cannot be fully represented through available data. A further challenge is organizational readiness. Effective GenAI adoption requires AI literacy, critical evaluation skills, appropriate prompting capabilities, secure infrastructure, and clear governance policies. Organizations must define acceptable uses of AI, establish procedures for validating outputs, and clarify accountability for decisions supported by AI.

Strategic Implications for Organizations

The principal strategic implication is that organizations should move from GenAI experimentation toward a governed organizational capability. The transition from pilots to embedded decision systems requires leadership commitment, workforce development, secure technological platforms, experimentation,

investment, and governance [3]. GenAI should therefore be incorporated into strategic planning rather than treated solely as an information-technology initiative.

A useful conceptual relationship is

GenAI Capabilities → Strategic Alignment → Organizational Capabilities → Strategic Value

This process is supported by two critical conditions: Human Judgment and AI Governance. GenAI provides technological potential, strategic alignment determines where that potential should be applied, and organizational capabilities determine whether it can be converted into innovation, agility, productivity, and competitive value. Organizations should consequently adopt human-in-the-loop processes for high-impact strategic decisions. AI can generate alternatives, identify patterns, test assumptions, and provide preliminary evaluations, while managers retain responsibility for interpretation, validation, ethical assessment, and final decisions. This approach reinforces the view that AI should augment rather than eliminate managerial cognition [4]. Governance should likewise become an integral component of GenAI strategy. Organizations need mechanisms addressing output quality, data protection, provenance, accountability, and appropriate human oversight. Such mechanisms can distinguish between AI applications suitable for experimentation and those capable of influencing important organizational decisions [3].

Conclusion

Generative AI is emerging as more than a productivity technology; it represents a potential strategic capability that can reshape how organizations analyse environments, formulate alternatives, innovate, learn, and make decisions. Its benefits,

however, cannot be separated from challenges involving reliability, bias, hallucinations, privacy, intellectual property, overreliance, and organizational readiness. The strategic challenge is therefore to develop an organizational model in which GenAI complements rather than replaces human intelligence. Organizations that combine GenAI with strategic alignment, managerial expertise, organizational learning, data readiness, workforce capabilities, and effective governance are more likely to convert technological potential into sustainable strategic value. The future of strategic management is consequently less likely to involve a choice between humans and AI and more likely to depend on effective human-AI collaboration, in which AI expands analytical and creative capacity while managers retain responsibility for judgment, accountability, ethics, and strategic direction [5].

References

1. Feuerriegel S, Hartmann J, Janiesch C, Zschech P (2024) Generative AI. *Business & Information Systems Engineering* 66(2): 111-126.
2. Doshi AR, Bell JJ, Mirzayev E, Vanneste BS (2025) Generative artificial intelligence and evaluating strategic decisions. *Strategic Management Journal* 46(3): 583-610.
3. Saup T-O, Asghar J, Kanbach DK, Kraus S (2026) From pilots to decision systems: Embedding generative AI into strategic decision-making through a socio-technical and governance lens. *Journal of Decision Systems* 35(1).
4. Raisch S, Krakowski S (2021) Artificial intelligence and management: The automation-augmentation paradox. *Academy of Management Review* 46(1): 192-210.
5. Dwivedi YK, Kshetri N, Hughes L, Slade EL, Jeyaraj A, et al. (2023) So what if ChatGPT wrote it? Multidisciplinary perspectives on opportunities, challenges and implications of generative conversational AI for research, practice and policy. *International Journal of Information Management* 71: 102642.