



ISSN: 2689-422X

Global Journal of  
Pediatrics & Neonatal Care

DOI: 10.33552/GJPNC.2020.02.000531

Iris Publishers

Research Article

Copyright © All rights are reserved by Masoud Rasha

# Respiratory Support Adequacy for Very Low Birth Weight Infants Post Extubation

Masoud Rasha\* and Lanqawi Noura

Pediatric Department, Tawam Hospital, UAE

\*Corresponding author: Masoud Rasha, Pediatric Department, NICU, Tawam Hospital, Abu Dhabi Health Services Company, UAE.

Received Date: February 19, 2020

Published Date: March 05, 2020

## Abstract

**Objective:** Study the predictors of extubation trial failure, for very low birth weight infant.

**Methods:** Retrospective review and analysis of very low birth weight infants, intubated in neonatal intensive care unit - Tawam Hospital, 2011 till 2018.

**Results:** GA (21<sub>+4</sub>- 26+6) weeks, extubation failure associates Male gender, FIO<sub>2</sub> level >0.30, and high PCO<sub>2</sub> level above 55 mmhg post extubation, Ground glass appearance on chest XR at trial, large PDA, low and advanced grades IVH. Success associates FIO<sub>2</sub> level <(0.25) before extubation, post extubation PEEP level (6-7) cm water.

GA (27- 29+6) weeks, failure associates FIO<sub>2</sub> level >0.30, and low PH level (6.9 - 7.24) post extubation, advanced grade IVH. Success associates single antenatal steroid dose, FIO<sub>2</sub> level (0.21) before extubation, post extubation PEEP level range (6-7) cm.

GA (30- 32) weeks, failure associates FIO<sub>2</sub> level >0.30, low PH level (6.9 - 7.24), and low PO<sub>2</sub> (20-40) mmhg post extubation, large PDA. Success associates mother's Pre-labor rupture of membranes, adequately sized, waiting until FIO<sub>2</sub> requirement level is < (0.25), and IT >0.38 sec before extubation.

Surfactant dose not determine extubation trial results for GA (21<sub>+4</sub>- 23+6). Success associates 2 doses for GA (24- 26+6) weeks, single dose for GA (27- 29+6) weeks, and all 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> doses for GA (30-32) weeks.

Low phosphorus level associate's failure for GA (24- 26+6, 27- 29+6).

**Conclusion:** Predictors of extubation trial failure vary among GA groups, and may guide physician to predict the result of extubation trial and reduce exposure to failure. Optimizing documentation and follow up research studies on larger sample size, are recommended to analyze secondary predictors.

**Keywords:** ELBW; Extubation failure; Risk factor; Bronchopulmonary dysplasia; Ventilation; NAVA

## Background

Antenatal steroids and early use of nasal continuous positive airway pressure (NCPAP) have significantly improved outcomes of very low birth weight infants with respiratory distress syndrome [1,2], but intubation with ventilator support is still required and the optimal timing of extubation remains unclear. Nearly two thirds of premature born at less than 29 week gestation require mechanical ventilation during NICU stay [3]. Acute complications, and bron-

chopulmonary dysplasia (BPD), adverse neurodevelopmental outcomes, related to mechanical ventilation and endotracheal intubation in extreme preterm infants [4,5] are encouraging physicians to extubate infants as early as possible. Short-term (less than 7 days) mechanical ventilation itself is known to be a cause of rapid diaphragmatic dysfunction, and long-term ventilation (more than 12 days) is also associated with failure of normal pulmonary growth



and maturation [6]. But still 40% of mechanically ventilated ELBW infants require re-intubation following extubation [7]. Failure of extubation has been associated with higher mortality rates, increased length of hospital stays, and longer ventilation days [8,9].

Mode of ventilation that converts electrical activity of diaphragm into proportionally assisted and synchronized breath is known as neutrally adjusted ventilatory assist (NAVA) [6]. Infants inform the neonatologist of what support they need, directing both the timing and depth of their breath pattern [10]. NIV NAVA can provide synchronized post extubation ventilatory support as measured by decreased  $PCO_2$  in premature infant [11].

NAVA appears to work well in neonates, but if NAVA makes a difference in outcomes in this population, has not been established so far [10].

## Methods

Research proposal was reviewed and approved by Tawam Human Research Ethics Committee-Abu Dhabi Health service company UAE. The purpose of the study is to evaluate the rate and predictors of extubation failure in VLBW, especially the post extubation ventilation set to establish a local protocol to avoid extubation failure, improve the outcome of VLBW infants, and decrease the rate of chronic lung disease. Hospital ID number was de-identified and masked to secure confidentiality and no consent was required, the study was in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The study work starts by a pharmacy list of 1580 shot of surfactant 2011-2018, given to 761 neonates admitted to NICU, as some patients require 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> or 4<sup>th</sup> doses of surfactant. Retrospectively we collect Data from records of 457 neonates who fit criteria of <32 weeks GA, or < 1500g BWT. 11 neonates are excluded for congenital anomaly.

We evaluate 446 VLBW admitted to neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) -Tawam Hospital and intubated from Jan 2011 till Jan 2018. Risk factors, ventilation parameters, blood gas results prior and 2 hours post extubation trial are analyzed. Definition of extubation failure is re-intubation within 5 days due to attending physician assessment of clinical status and blood gas. Over years of the study some of NICU team turned over (Guide for extubation is the aim of the study), causing bias that could not be handled retrospectively. Tool of statistical analysis is IBM SPSS Statistics version 20. We use non-parametric tests for non-normally distributed Data. Categorical variables analysis is loglinear and 3way Pearson's Chi square  $X_2$ . The test analyzes association between extubation trial result and each predictor for each GA group GA (21<sub>+4</sub>- 23+6, 24- 26+6, 27-29+6, 30-32), but have not allow for analysis of all predictors at once. At some steps analysis we must merge GA groups (21<sub>+4</sub>- 23+6, 24- 26+6) for small sample size of GA group (21<sub>+4</sub>- 23+6).

Extubation results are 1- success, 2- fail, 3- (not fit for extubation till end of 14 days of life). As not fit for extubation and failing it share the case of VLBW undue for extubation, We have merge 2 and 3 for part of predictors, while used (Success, Fail) for ventila-

tion predictors. Goodness of fit tests and cramer's V determines the strength of association. We run several steps analyses for some predictors to extract cut off significance or compare several variables in pairs. Prenatal Steroid is grouped (No steroid given or unknown, Steroid given) then subgrouped into (1 dose, 2 doses). Timing of each dose is not recorded. Size for GA groups (small for GA- below 10<sup>th</sup> centile on Fenton growth chart, Adequate for GA, Large for GA- above 90<sup>th</sup> centile on Fenton growth chart). Gender groups (Male, Female). Number of surfactant doses is determined by physician's opinion and clinical requirement. Patients who died at 1<sup>st</sup> day of life are excluded from surfactant analysis. The test is performed on 4 levels to test the cut off statistically significant dose for each GA. Gestational diabetic status GDM groups (No GDM or unknown, GDM). Mother's chorioamnionitis status before delivery is defined by gynecologist clinical assessment only and follow up histologic chorioamnionitis is not recorded. Chorioamnionitis groups (No chorioamnionitis or unknown, chorioamnionitis). Pre-labor ruptured membrane mother's status groups (No PROM or unknown, PROM >18hours). Caffeine treatment groups (no Caffeine, Caffeine). Phosphorous level at 2<sup>nd</sup> week of life groups (less than 1.8 mmol/L, above 1.8 mmol/L). Day of life at 1<sup>st</sup> intubation (Intubated 1 in delivery room, any time later). Ventilation Mode before extubation trial groups (NAVA, Conventional ventilation +/- Volume targeted ventilation, PSV). Ventilation parameters before extubation are set by attending physician. Peak Inspiratory Pressure (PIP) level between (8-28) cm H<sub>2</sub>O, Median 18.8 cm H<sub>2</sub>O, Mode 20 cm H<sub>2</sub>O. Positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) level between (5-8) cm H<sub>2</sub>O. PEEP groups (<6, >6) cm H<sub>2</sub>O. Ventilation Rate 20-60/min, "mode 40/min". Rate groups (20-40, 41-60)/ min.

Fraction of inspired oxygen  $FIO_2$  Mode is 0.21. Inspiratory time (IT) range (0.20-0.86) sec. Mode 0.38 sec. IT groups (0.20-0.33, 0.33-0.37, 0.38-0.4, 0.4-0.86) sec. Patient's respiratory rate before extubation (RR) range (20-99)/min, Mode 50/min. RR groups (20-40, 40-70, 70-99)/min. Blood gases are capillary and arterial samples and we set groups following acid-base homeostasis range of arterial blood gas of VLBW. PH mean 7.33 (7.0-7.57), PH groups (7-7.24, 7.25-7.34, 7.35-7.57).

$PCO_2$  mean 41 (9.2-69) mmhg,  $PCO_2$  groups into (9-34, 35-54, 55-70) mmhg.  $PO_2$  mean 49.4 (21.5-144),  $PO_2$  level groups (20-40, 41-70, 71-145) mmhg.  $HCO_3$  mean 21.38 (9.7-34), groups (9.2-18, 18.1-25, 25.1-36). BE mean - 3.98 (-15.3 to +6), BE groups (-16 to -8, -7.9 to 6). HB mean 14.5 (7.3-24) g/dl. Post extubation ventilation mode and set are chosen by attending physician. Ventilation mode groups (Continuous positive airway pressure CPAP, Non-invasive NAVA ventilation "NIV NAVA", non-invasive ventilation). PIP level mode 8 cm H<sub>2</sub>O. PIP groups (5-8, 9-30) cm H<sub>2</sub>O.  $FIO_2$  level mode 0.21, groups (0.21-0.30, 0.31-0.90). PEEP level (<6, 6-7, 8-10) cm H<sub>2</sub>O. Ventilation rate (15-30, 31-60)/min. Edi max mean 7 (2.8-12)  $\mu$ V. Edi max mean 1.4 (0.2-5)  $\mu$ V. PH level mode 7.3, groups (6.9-7.24, 7.25-7.34, 7.35-7.55).  $PCO_2$  level mode 42 mmhg,  $PCO_2$  groups (19-34, 35-54, 55-86) mmhg.  $PO_2$  level mode 40 (18-127) mmHG,

groups (20-40, 41-70, 71-145) mmHG.  $\text{HCO}_3$  level groups (10-18, 18.1-25, 25.1-36) mEq/L. Each VLBW fails extubation and re-intubated has CXR to check ETT tip position. The major finding for all GA groups is ground glass appearance 60%, 51.6%, 87.5% for GA group (21<sub>+4</sub>- 26+6, 27- 29+6, 30-32). CXR at failure groups (non-ground glass appearance, significant ground glass appearance). Times of extubation (1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup>). Extubation day (within 2days of life, within 7days of life, later). Air leak groups (No air leak, air leak syndrome till 14 days of life). Air leak syndrome include [PIE, Tension pneumothorax]. PDA (Tiny PDA and no treatment needed, Large significant PDA requiring treatment). IVH grade (No IVH, low grade 1&2, advanced grade 3&4). Continuous variables analysis is Mean and One-way ANOVA. We don't have a non-parametric test that analyzes the effects of all predictors at once.

## Results

The study evaluates 446 neonates <32 weeks GA, or < 1500g BWT. 132/446 are not fit for extubation trial till the end of 14 days of life.

### 1<sup>st</sup> trial extubation (till 14 days of life)

314/446 infant 1st extubated after assessment of clinical stability by attending physician. (before completing 14 days of life).

222/314 (70.7%) infant succeed at 1<sup>st</sup> trial extubation (success is defined by passing 5 days without re-intubation).

92/314 (29.29%) infant fail at 1<sup>st</sup> trial extubation (need re-intubation within 5 days post extubation).

### 2<sup>nd</sup> trial extubation (included only within 7 days of life to observe patients over the next 5 days)

We exclude 34/92 trial post 1<sup>st</sup> week.

15/92 infant are not fit for extubation till 14 days of life.

43/92 infant, 2<sup>nd</sup> trial extubation (within 7 days of life).

29/43 infant succeed 2<sup>nd</sup> trial extubation.

14/43 infant fail 2<sup>nd</sup> trial of extubation.

### 3<sup>rd</sup> trial extubation (only within 7 days to observe patients over the next 5 days)

We exclude 12 patients are not fit for extubation within the first 7 days of life.

3 infants, 3<sup>rd</sup> trial extubation within 1<sup>st</sup> week.

2 infants succeed 3<sup>rd</sup> trial extubation.

1 infant fails 3<sup>rd</sup> trial extubation.

## Discussion

Our study is enlightened by the paper of Shih-Hsin Wang and his colleagues 2015, the only predictor that their study proved to be related to extubation failure is poor acid - base homeostasis 2 hours after extubation ( $\text{pH} < 7.3$  and  $\text{HCO}_3 < 18$  mM/L) regardless of premature GA [12]. Our study is unique for the sample size and the analysis of subgroups GA. The following is discussion of results in Tables 1,2,3.

One dose of antenatal steroid is enough to statistically predict extubation success for GA (27- 29+6) weeks, and 2<sup>nd</sup> dose not add to the significance. Unrecorded cases may underestimate the effect of steroid (bias).

Adequately sized GA group (30-32) weeks, have moderate association with extubation success than small for GA. Male gender of GA (21<sub>+4</sub>-26+6) weeks is related to extubation failure, and no association for other GA groups.

**Table 1:** Results.

Prenatal Predictors	GA	Nonparametric Test	Significance of Association	Strength
<b>Extubation Success</b>				
Prenatal Steroid 1 dose	27-29+6	Linear by linear, (2, N = 507) =4.73	p 0.023	0.155
Prenatal Steroid 2 doses	27-29+6	Linear by linear, (1, N = 338) =3.62	p 0.042	0.16
Adequate size for GA	30-32	Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N = 495) =6.5	Fisure's exact test, p 0.039	0.232
Large for GA	ALL	Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N = 491) =0.009	Fisure's exact test, p 0.578	
	27-29+6	Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N = 507) =0.02	p 0.49	
	30-32	Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ , (1, N = 507) =0.11	p 0.46	
Surfactant doses				
2 doses before 1 <sup>st</sup> trial	(21 <sub>+4</sub> - 23+6)	Linear by linear association, $X_2$ (1, N = 60, 70, 70) =3	p 0.157	
3 doses before 1 <sup>st</sup> trial		Linear by linear association, $X_2$ (1, N = 60, 70, 70) =1.3	p 0.317	
4 doses before 1 <sup>st</sup> trial		Linear by linear association, $X_2$ (1, N = 60, 70, 70) =1.3	p 0.317	
2 doses before 1 <sup>st</sup> trial	(24- 26+6)	Linear by linear association, $X_2$ (1, N = 60) =5.7	p 0.019	
2 doses for 2 trials		Linear by linear association, $X_2$ (1, N = 70) =6.5	p 0.01	
3 doses before 1 <sup>st</sup> trial		Linear by linear association, $X_2$ (1, N = 60) =4,6	p 0.031	
3 doses for several trials		Linear by linear association, $X_2$ (1, N = 70) =5.9	p 0.015	
one dose before 1 <sup>st</sup> trial	(27-29+6)	Linear by linear association, $X_2$ (1, N = 363) =13.46	p 0.0002	

2 doses before 1 <sup>st</sup> trial		Linear by linear association, $X_2$ (1, N = 314) =14.6	p 0.0001	
2 doses for 2 trials		Linear by linear association, $X_2$ (1, N = 363) =13.4	p 0.0002	
1dose	(30-32)	Linear by linear association, $X_2$ (1, N = 363) = 4.98	p 0.026	
2 doses		Linear by linear association, $X_2$ (1, N = 363) = 5.8	p 0.016	
3 doses		Linear by linear association, $X_2$ (1, N = 363) = 16.5	p 0.00004	
GDM		Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N = 507) = 2	p 0.09	
Chorioamnionitis		Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N = 507) =1.1	Fisher's exact test, p 0.198	
Caffeine		Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N = 363) =2.3	Fisher's exact test, p 0.089	
<b>Extubation Failure</b>				
No-PROM		Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N = 507) =9.3	p 0.002	0.346
Gender (Male)	(21 <sub>+4</sub> - 26+6)	Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N = 507) =7.8	p 0.005	0.204
Low PO <sub>4</sub> level	(24- 26+6)	Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N = 299) =7.1	p 0.007	0.305
	(27- 29+6)	Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N = 299) = 4.3	p 0.036	0.176
	(21 <sub>+4</sub> - 23+6)	Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N = 299) =1.2	p 0.42	
	(30-32)	Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N = 299) = 3.5	Pp 0.06	

Table 2: Results.

Systemic Predictors	GA	Nonparametric Test	Significance of Association	Strength
<b>Extubation Failure</b>				
Air leak [PIE + Tension pneumothorax]	(21 <sub>+4</sub> - 26+6)	Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N = 451) =3.1	Fisher's exact test, p 0.062	
	(27- 29+6)	Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N = 451) =1.56	Fisher's exact test, p 0.215	
	(30-32)	Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N = 451) =4.5	Fisher's exact test, p 0.183	
Large significant PDA requiring treatment	(21 <sub>+4</sub> - 26+6)	Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N = 436) =8.24	p 0.004	
	(27- 29+6)	Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N = 436) =2.7	p 0.09	
	(30-32)	Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N = 436) =5.9	Fisher's exact test p 0.029	
IVH low grade 1&2	(21 <sub>+4</sub> - 26+6)	Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N = 394) =8.2	p 0.004	
	(27- 29+6)	Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N = 394) =0.75	p 0.38	
	(30-32)	Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N = 394) =1.17	Fisher's exact test, p 0.24	
IVH advanced grade 3&4	(21 <sub>+4</sub> - 26+6)	Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N = 349) =11.9	p 0.001	0.353
	(27- 29+6)	Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N = 349) =8.2	Fisher's exact test, p 0.011	
	(30-32)	Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N = 349) =0.172	Fisher's exact test, p 0.854	

Table 3: Results.

Ventilation Predictors	GA	Nonparametric Test	Significance of Association	Strength	Mean
<b>Extubation Success</b>					
Day of life at 1 <sup>st</sup> Intubation	(24- 26+6)	Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N = 359) =0.09	p 0.76		
	(27- 29+6)	Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N = 359) = 1.1	p 0.29		
	(30-32)	Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N = 359) = 0.08	p 0.77		
Ventilation mode before extubation					
NAVA mode		Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N = 338) =0.67	Fisher's exact test, p 0.24		
conventional ventilation +/- Volume targeted		Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N = 169) =0.119	Fisher's exact test, p 0.442		
PSV		Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N = 338) =0.71	Fisher's exact test, p 0.238		
(PIP) level before extubation		One-way ANOVA F (2, 250) = 0.71	p 0.49		
(PEEP) level before extubation		Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N = 330) =0.56	Fisher's exact test, p 0.26		

Ventilation Rate before extubation		Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N = 287) = 0.89	Fisher's exact test, p 0.21		
(FIO <sub>2</sub> ) 0.21 before extubation	(27- 29+6)	Linear by linear association, $X_2$ (1, N = 334) = 4.84	p 0.028		
(FIO <sub>2</sub> ) 0.25 before extubation	(21 <sub>+4</sub> - 26+6)	Linear by linear association, $X_2$ (1, N = 334) = 9	p 0.003		
	(30-32)	Linear by linear association, $X_2$ (1, N = 334) = 17.84	p 0.000026		
IT before extubation IT >0.38 sec	(30-32)	Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N = 162) = 5.23	Fisher's exact test, p 0.04	0.324	
Patient's respiratory Rate before extubation					
(20-40, 40-70)/min		Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N = 168) = 0.01	Fisher's exact test, p 0.53		
(40-70, 70-99)/min		Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N = 168) = 0.043	Fisher's exact test, p 0.5		
NAVA Level before extubation		One-way ANOVA F (1,48) = 0.012	p 0.91		1.5 (0.8-3) cm H <sub>2</sub> O/ μV
Edi max before extubation		One-way ANOVA F (1,29) = 1.6	p 0.2		8.77 (2.9, 14) μV
Edi min before extubation		One-way ANOVA F (1, 29) = 1.15	p 0.29		1.36 (0.3, 5.5) μV
PH before extubation		One-way ANOVA F (2,348) = 0.52	p 0.59		7.33(7.0-7.57)
PCO <sub>2</sub> before extubation		One-way ANOVA F (2,348) = 0.42	p 0.65		41 (9.2-69) mmhg
PO <sub>2</sub> before extubation		One-way ANOVA F (2,348) = 1.7	p 0.16		49.4 (21.5-144) mmhg.
HCO <sub>3</sub> before extubation		One-way ANOVA F (2,348) = 0.27	p 0.75		21.38 (9.7-34)
BE before extubation		One-way ANOVA F (2, 348) = 1.3	p 0.82		-3.98 (-15.3 to +6)
HB before extubation		One-way ANOVA F (2, 346) = 0.953	p 0.38		14.5 (7.3-24) g/dl
LAC before extubation		One-way ANOVA F(2) = 0.289	p 0.749		2.82 (0-12.7) mmol/L
Post extubation ventilation mode					
(CPAP, NIV NAVA, NIV PC)	(21 <sub>+4</sub> -26+6)	Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N = 350) = 0.26	p 0.87		
(CPAP, NIV NAVA, NIV PC)	(27-29+6)	Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N = 350) = 2.87	p 0.23		
(CPAP, NIV NAVA)	(30-32)	Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N= 258) = 1.304	Fisher's Exact Test p 0.315		
(NIV NAVA, NIV PC)		Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N= 258) = 2.27	Fisher's Exact Test p 0.126		
(CPAP, NIV PC)		Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N= 258) = 3	Fisher's Exact Test p 0.1		
PIP post extubation		Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N = 233) = 2.7	Fisher's Exact Test p 0.067		
PEEP post extubation					
(less than 6, 6-7) cm H <sub>2</sub> O		Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N = 313) = 0.417	Fisher's Exact Test p 0.314		
(6-7, 8-10) cm H <sub>2</sub> O	(21 <sub>+4</sub> - 26+6)	Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N = 114) = 4.47	p 0.042	0.415	
	(27- 29+6)	Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N = 114) = 4.86	p 0.028	0.273	
	(30-32)	Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N = 114) = 1.79	Fisher's Exact Test p 0.208		
Ventilation Rate post extubation (15-30, 31-60)	(21 <sub>+4</sub> -26+6)	Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N = 221) = 0.87	p 0.26		
	(27-29+6)	Pearson's Chi square, $X_2$ (1, N = 221) = 2.2	p 0.1		
	(30-32)	$X_2$ (1, N = 221) = 1.29	Fisher's Exact Test p 0.21		
Post extubation ventilation (IT)		One-way ANOVA F (2, 47) = 1.8	p 0.175		0.40 (0.30-0.59) sec
Post extubation NAVA level		One-way ANOVA F (1, 60) = 0.009	p 0.925		1.74 (0.5-3.7) cm H <sub>2</sub> O/μV

Edi max post extubation		One-way ANOVA F (1, 18) =0.245	p 0.629		7 (2.8-12) $\mu$ V
Edi min post extubation		One-way ANOVA F (1, 18) =0.001	p 0.997		1.4 (0.2-5) $\mu$ V
HCO <sub>3</sub> post extubation (10-18, 18.1-25) mEq/L		Pearson's Chi square, X <sub>2</sub> (1, N = 334) =2.78	Fisure's exact test, p 0.07		
HCO <sub>3</sub> post extubation (18.1-25, 25.1-36) mEq/L	(21 <sub>+4</sub> -26+6)	Pearson's Chi square, X <sub>2</sub> (1, N = 308) =0.73	Fisure's exact test, p 0.31		
	(27- 29+6)	Pearson's Chi square, X <sub>2</sub> (1, N = 308) =0.12	Fisure's exact test, p 0.48		
	(30-32)	Pearson's Chi square, X <sub>2</sub> (1, N = 308) =1.1	Fisure's exact test, p 0.34		
2 <sup>nd</sup> trial extubation		Pearson's Chi square, X <sub>2</sub> (1, N = 357) =0.192	Fisher's exact test, p 0.391		
3 <sup>rd</sup> trial extubation		Pearson's Chi square, X <sub>2</sub> (1, N = 257) =0.169	Fisher's exact test, p 0.551		
Extubation day (within 2days of life, later)	(27- 29+6, 30-32).	Pearson's Chi square, X <sub>2</sub> (1, N = 352) =0.855	Fisher's exact test, p 0.21		
Extubation day (within 7days of life, later)	(21 <sub>+4</sub> - 23+6, 24-26+6)	Pearson's Chi square, X <sub>2</sub> (1, N = 68) =0.009	Fisher's exact test, p 0.56		
Number of days post extubation		On-way ANOVA F (2, 104) =0.78	p 0.457		
<b>Extubation Failure</b>					
FIO <sub>2</sub> post extubation (0.21-0.30, 0.31-0.90) mmHG	(21 <sub>+4</sub> -26+6)	Pearson's Chi square, X <sub>2</sub> (1, N = 356) =6.78	p 0.009	0.318	
	(27-29+6)	Pearson's Chi square, X <sub>2</sub> (1, N = 356) =9.71	p 0.003	0.234	
	(30-32)	Pearson's Chi square, X <sub>2</sub> (1, N = 356) =13.6	Fisher's Exact Test p 0.004		
Post extubation PH (6.9-7.24, 7.25-7.34)	(21 <sub>+4</sub> -26+6)	Pearson's Chi square, X <sub>2</sub> (1, N = 285) =2.9	p 0.085		
	(27- 29+6)	Pearson's Chi square, X <sub>2</sub> (1, N = 285) =5	p 0.025	0.192	
	(30-32)	Pearson's Chi square, X <sub>2</sub> (1, N = 285) =10.27	Fisher's Exact Test p 0.015		
Post extubation PH (7.25-7.34, 7.35-7.55).	(21 <sub>+4</sub> -26+6)	Pearson's Chi square, X <sub>2</sub> (1, N = 314) =3.9	Fisher's Exact Test p 0.05		
	(27- 29+6)	Pearson's Chi square, X <sub>2</sub> (1, N = 314) =2	Fisher's Exact Test p 0.11		
	(30-32)	Pearson's Chi square, X <sub>2</sub> (1, N = 314) =0.39	Fisher's Exact Test p 0.45		
PCO <sub>2</sub> level post extubation 35-54, 55-86)mmHG	(21 <sub>+4</sub> -26+6)	Pearson's Chi square, X <sub>2</sub> (1, 308) = 6.61	p 0.01	0.329	
	(27- 29+6)	Pearson's Chi square, X <sub>2</sub> (1, N = 308) =1.81	p 0.142		
	(30-32)	Pearson's Chi square, X <sub>2</sub> (1, N = 308) =1.33	Fisher's exact test p 0.321		
PCO <sub>2</sub> level post extubation (19-34, 35-54) mmHG	(21 <sub>+4</sub> -26+6)	Pearson's Chi square, X <sub>2</sub> (1, N = 322) =0.22	Fisher's exact test p 0.6		
	(27- 29+6)	Pearson's Chi square, X <sub>2</sub> (1, N = 322) =1.82	p 0.127		
	(30-32)	Pearson's Chi square, X <sub>2</sub> (1, N = 322) =0.016	Fisher's exact test p 0.68		
PO <sub>2</sub> level post extubation (20-40, 41-70) mmHG	(21 <sub>+4</sub> -26+6)	Pearson's Chi square, X <sub>2</sub> (1, N = 320) =3.7	p 0.54		
	(27- 29+6)	Pearson's Chi square, X <sub>2</sub> (1, N = 320) =0.89	p 0.76		
	(30-32)	Pearson's Chi square, X <sub>2</sub> (1, N = 320) =5.3	p 0.021	0.225	
PO <sub>2</sub> level post extubation (41-70, 71-145) mmHG		Pearson's Chi square, X <sub>2</sub> (1, N = 206) =0.71	p 0.25		
Ground glass appearance and 1st trial failure	(21 <sub>+4</sub> -26+6)	Pearson's Chi square, X <sub>2</sub> (1, N = 119) =6.66	Fisher's exact test p 0.02		
	(27- 29+6)	Pearson's Chi square, X <sub>2</sub> (1, N = 119) =1.8	Fisher's exact test p 0.16		
	(30-32)	Pearson's Chi square, X <sub>2</sub> (1, N = 119) =0.15	Fisher's exact test p 0.87		

GA group (21<sub>+4</sub>-23+6) w is 1 at canalicular stage of fetal lung development and has no surfactant production. All doses of surfactant are not enough to make a statistical difference in extubation trial. The underdeveloped lung is not fit for extubation trial, dependent on invasive ventilation and surfactant is not enough alone to be the treatment of choice to prevent extubation failure. GA group (24-26+6) w is at sacular lung maturity stage. Surfactant is detected in fetal amniotic fluid at this GA, and 2 doses of surfactant are enough to compensate for surfactant deficiency and make a statistically significant association with extubation success. GA group (27- 29+6) w is at fetal sacular lung maturity stage with much surfactant production. One dose of surfactant is enough for extubation trial success, and further doses do not add to significance. GA group (30-32) w lung has well established surfactant production, and in case of deficiency, all accumulative surfactant doses are associated with extubation trial success. Extra factors causing surfactant deficiency in GA group (30-32) w, need to be studied further. Gestational diabetic status GDM, Chorioamnionitis are not related to extubation trial. Unknown cases may drift results and underestimate the infectious effect on extubation trial.

Pre-labor ruptured membrane (PROM) seems to be a predictor for extubation success, as NO PROM group is statistically associated with extubation trial failure for all GA groups. Caffeine treatment is not related to extubation trial for all GA groups. Low PO<sub>4</sub> level at 2 weeks of life is strongly associated with extubation failure for GA (24- 26+6) weeks, mildly associated for GA (27- 29+6) weeks, but not for GA groups (21<sub>+4</sub>- 23+6, 30-32). Optimal PO<sub>4</sub> supplement added to parenteral nutrition may add to extubation trial success. All GA groups (21<sub>+4</sub>- 23+6) w infants included are intubated at delivery room for respiratory failure. Analysis of day of 1<sup>st</sup> intubation (delivery room, later) is not visible, but not related to extubation trial for other GA groups. Ventilation Mode, PIP, PEEP, Rate before extubation are not related to extubation trial for all GA groups. Reducing the fraction of inspired oxygen (FIO<sub>2</sub>) to 0.21 before extubation associates extubation success trial for GA group (27- 29+6) w, while (0.25) is enough for success for GA group (21<sub>+4</sub>- 26+6, 30-32) w. This result is determined by bedside practice, and (0.21) is recommended as a guide.

Short Inspiratory time before extubation (IT) groups (0.2-0.33, 0.33-0.37) sec act the same and has no relation with extubation trial. Longer IT groups (0.38-0.4, 0.4-0.86) sec act the same and has no relation with extubation trial. Comparison between 1 (0.20-0.37, 0.38- 0.86) sec is significant for GA group (30-32) w. Inspiratory time before extubation (IT) (0.38-0.86) sec associates trial success for GA group (30-32) w. As the longer IT group (0.4-0.86) sec act the same as IT group (0.38-0.4) sec, and RDS management requires lower possible range of IT, we recommend starting with IT range (0.38-0.4) sec for safe ventilation and successful extubation trial. Patient's respiratory rate before extubation (RR) is not related to extubation trial. Tachypneic VLBW may still succeed extubation trial, as well as variably slow breathing VLBW. Neutrally adjusted

ventilatory assist NAVA Level, electrical activity of the diaphragm (Edi) (max & min) before extubation are not related to extubation trial. Blood gas PH, PCO<sub>2</sub>, PO<sub>2</sub>, HCO<sub>3</sub>, BE, HB, LAC before extubation are all not related to extubation trial.

Post extubation ventilation mode, PIP, Rate, IT, NAVA level, Edi (max & min) are not related to extubation trial. Low PEEP level (<6, 6-7) cm H<sub>2</sub>O post extubation is not related to 25 extubation trial for all GA groups. 26 For high PEEP level (6-7, 8-10) cm H<sub>2</sub>O post 27 extubation trial, extubation trial success is 28 related to PEEP level (6-7) cm H<sub>2</sub>O for GA 29 (21<sub>+4</sub>- 26+6, 27- 29+6) w, but not for GA group 30 (30-32) w. Ventilation FIO<sub>2</sub> level > 0.30 post extubation associates extubation trial failure for all GA groups. Metabolic acidosis post extubation associates extubation failure for GA groups (27- 29+6, 30-32) w, but not for GA (21<sub>+4</sub>-26+6) w. For GA (21<sub>+4</sub>-26+6) w metabolic acidosis is somehow a characteristic feature and is not a good predictor for extubation failure. At this point our study differ in results from the study of Taiwan 2015, because in mixing all GA groups, metabolic acidosis is a predictor for failure for all GA groups. Metabolic alkalosis is not related to extubation trial for all GA.

High PCO<sub>2</sub> level > 55 mmhg post extubation associates extubation trial failure for GA (21<sub>+4</sub>- 26+6). Physician decided to re-intubate VLBW (trial fail) selectively at this level of PCO<sub>2</sub>, and the analysis show no association between PIP, ventilation Rate and extubation trial, therefore giving a chance of ventilation set adjustment to reduce PCO<sub>2</sub> level by increasing PIP or Rate of ventilation may not be helpful to turn the trial result into success for GA (21<sub>+4</sub>-26+6). Low PCO<sub>2</sub> is not related to extubation trial success.

Post extubation ventilation rate grouped into (15-30, 31-60)/min. PCO<sub>2</sub> post extubation and ventilation Rate are not related for all GA groups. One Way ANOVA F (2, 218) =1.59, p=0.206. At failure we grouped infants' respiratory rate into (0-30, 31-60, 61- 100)/min. PCO<sub>2</sub> mean is not related by general linear model test to infant's respiratory rate post extubation (failure group only) for all GA groups, and extra factors need to be studied further. One Way ANOVA F (2, 108) =0.39, p=0.677. No relation between high PCO<sub>2</sub> and extubation trial for (27- 29+6, 30-32).

Low PO<sub>2</sub> level post extubation is not related to the trial for GA groups (21<sub>+4</sub>- 26+6, 27- 29+6) w, but associate's failure for GA (30-32) w. High PO<sub>2</sub> post extubation is not related to trial for all GA groups. Post extubation blood gas-HCO<sub>3</sub> is not related to extubation trial.

Chest XR at 1<sup>st</sup> extubation failure, showing significant ground glass appearance is statistically significant for GA group (21<sub>+4</sub>-26+6). We recommend a new study to determine the efficacy of performing CXR before extubation trial to guide extubation decision for GA group (21<sub>+4</sub>- 26+6). CXR at 2<sup>nd</sup> extubation failure showing Non-ground glass appearance for GA group (21<sub>+4</sub>- 26+6) is statistically significant. At 2<sup>nd</sup> trial failure for GA group (21<sub>+4</sub>- 26+6),

CXR cleared of ground glass appearance but trial may still fail for other reasons. CXR at failure is not related to extubation trial for further mature GA groups (27- 29+6, 30-32). Times of extubation trial (1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> 3<sup>rd</sup>) are not related to extubation trial results.

1<sup>st</sup> extubation within 2days of life is not related to trial results for GA groups (27- 29+6, 30-32) w. 1<sup>st</sup> extubation within 7days of life is not related to trial results for GA groups (21<sub>+4</sub> - 23+6, 24-26+6) w. GA group do not predict day of failure post extubation trial. On-way ANOVA  $F(2, 104) = 0.78, p = 0.457$ . Air leak syndrome is not related to extubation trial for all GA groups. Patent Ductus Arteriosus (PDA) is not related to extubation trial for GA groups (27- 29+6) w. Large significant PDA requiring treatment is associated with extubation failure for GA group (21<sub>+4</sub> - 26+6, 30-32) w. Bedside practice may drift results. Low grade IVH (1&2) associates extubation failure for GA group (21<sub>+4</sub> - 26+6) w, but not related for GA groups (27- 29+6, 30-32) w. Advanced IVH grade (3&4) associates extubation failure for GA groups (21<sub>+4</sub> - 26+6, 27- 29+6) w, but is not related for GA (30-32) w.

### Do Times Extubation Increase IVH Rate?

low grade IVH is not related to extubation times for all GA groups. Pearson's Chi square,  $X^2(1, N = 302) = 0.385$ , Fisher's exact test,  $p = 0.37$ . Advanced grade IVH is not related to extubation times for all GA groups. Pearson's Chi square,  $X^2(1, N = 340) = 0.12, 3.4, 1.51$ . Fisher's exact test,  $p = 0.49, 0.06, 0.23$ .

### Conclusion

Predictors of extubation trial failure are different between GA groups. Associated risk factors may guide physician to predict the result of extubation trial and reduce exposure to trial failure. Accurate documentation of antenatal history is critical for statistical significance of association. Follow up research studies on larger sample size are recommended to analyze secondary predictors.

### Acknowledgement

None.

### Conflict of Interest

No conflict of interest.

### References

- Sweet DG, Carnielli V, Greisen G, Hallman M, Ozek E, et al. (2010) European consensus guidelines on the management of neonatal respiratory distress syndrome in preterm infants - 2010 update. *Neonatology* 97(4): 402-417.
- Roberts D, Brown J, Medley N, Dalziel SR (2006) Antenatal corticosteroids for accelerating fetal lung maturation for women at risk of preterm birth. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* (3): CD004454.
- Stoll BJ, Hansen NI, Bell EF, Shankaran S, Laptook AR, et al. (2010) Neonatal outcomes of extremely preterm infants from the NICHD Neonatal Research Network. *Pediatrics* 126(3): 443-456.
- Kalikkot Thekkevedu R, Guaman MC, Shivanna B (2017) Bronchopulmonary dysplasia: A review of pathogenesis and pathophysiology. *Respir Med* 132: 170-177.
- Strong RM, Passy V (1977) Endotracheal intubation. Complications in neonates. *Arch Otolaryngol* 103(6): 329-335.
- Stein H, Firestone K, Rimensberger PC (2012) Synchronized Mechanical Ventilation Using Electrical Activity of the Diaphragm in Neonates. *Clin Perinatol* 39(3): 525-542.
- Stefanescu BM, Murphy WP, Hansell BJ, Fuloria M, Morgan TM, et al. (2003) A randomized, controlled trial comparing two different continuous positive airway pressure systems for the successful extubation of extremely low birth weight infants. *Pediatrics* 112(5): 1031-1038.
- Baisch SD, Wheeler WB, Kurachek SC, Cornfield DN (2005) Extubation failure in pediatric intensive care incidence and outcomes. *Pediatr Crit Care Med* 6(3): 312-318.
- Epstein SK, Ciubotaru RL, Wong JB (1997) Effect of failed extubation on the outcome of mechanical ventilation. *Chest* 112(1): 186-192.
- Narchi H, Chedid F (2015) Neurally adjusted ventilator assist in very low birth weight infants: Current status. *World J Methodol* 5(2): 62-67.
- Colaizy TT, Kummet GJ, Kummet CM, Klein JM (2017) Klein Noninvasive Neurally Adjusted Ventilatory Assist in Premature Infants Postextubation. *Am J Perinatol* 34(06): 593-598.
- Wang SH, Liou JY, Chen CY, Chou HC, Hsieh WS, et al. (2017) Risk Factors for Extubation Failure in Extremely Low Birth Weight Infants. *Pediatr Neonatol* 58(2): 145-150.