

**Review Article**

Copyright © All rights are reserved by Saccomanni Bernardino

# Graft Fixation in ACL Injuries: A Review

**Saccomanni Bernardino\****Orthopaedic and Trauma Surgery, Viale Regina Margherita, 70022, Altamura (Bari), Italy*

**\*Corresponding author:** Saccomanni Bernardino, Orthopaedic and Trauma Surgery, Viale Regina Margherita, 70022, Altamura (Bari), Italy

**Received Date: December 10, 2025****Published Date: December 17, 2025****Abstract**

**Purpose or Background or Introduction:** Reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament is a frequently performed procedure that has had outstanding results.

**Methods:** Outcomes are dependent upon an early postoperative physical therapy program that stresses early motion. Early rehabilitation demands rigid intraoperative mechanical fixation of the graft since therapy begins before biologic incorporation of the graft in the bone tunnels.

**Results and Conclusions:** Regardless of the graft substitute chosen, many methods of fixation are available. The best fixation technique depends on several factors, including graft choice and surgeon comfort. We review current methods available for graft fixation in anterior cruciate ligament surgery. In this review there are not figures and outcomes and tables.

**Level of Evidence:** V Expert Opinion.

**Keywords:** Graft fixation, ACL Injuries

**Introduction**

Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction has become commonplace in the United States and Europe. The popularity of this procedure is based on its ability to allow an individual to return to preinjury levels of activity that would otherwise not be possible. A critical component during reconstruction of a ligamentously unstable knee is an early rehabilitation protocol that stresses immediate full range of motion, strengthening, neuromuscular coordination and early weight bearing. This protocol demands rigid fixation of the graft substitute to withstand the stresses of early rehabilitation. Rigid fixation (abundant strength and stiffness) at the

anatomic footprint of the native ACL at the articular surface is the ideal technique. It provides no inflammatory response, facilitates biologic incorporation of the graft into the tunnel and does not hinder future procedures or investigative techniques. Rigid fixation is a popular technique for femoral grafts in ACL reconstruction and has excellent biomechanical properties. For example, the rigid fix cross-pin system is a device that uses two parallel pins across the graft and femoral tunnel. The tacks are composed of polylactic acid, and they are fully absorbed in the human body by hydrolysis [1]. Early rehabilitation demands rigid intraoperative mechanical fixation of the graft because therapy begins before

biologic incorporation of the graft in the bone tunnels. Noyes et al. [2] estimated that 454 N is the critical graft substitute strength required to endure daily activities, which are recreated during rehabilitation. However, good and excellent clinical results have been reported in reconstructions using fixation techniques shown to provide less strength [3,4]. The native ACL provides 2160 N of strength and 242 N/mm of stiffness [5]. Current graft substitutes provide adequate strength and stiffness at time zero; 2977 N and 455 N/mm for patellar tendon [6], 4140 N and 807 N/mm for quadrupled hamstring tendon [7] and 2353 N and 326 N/mm for quadriceps tendon [8]. Although laboratory studies demonstrate favourable strength and stiffness of these graft substitutes as compared with the native ACL, current graft fixation methods demonstrate inferior strength and stiffness. Therefore, the link of the graft substitute to the bone, the fixation method, is the weak link in the immediate postoperative period, rather than the graft substitute itself. As initial biologic incorporation of the graft into the tunnel occurs, the rigidity of the construct may vary. Fixation methods available today involve securing soft tissue or bone plugs within a bone tunnel or distally on the cortex. Many such methods and implants are available to optimize graft fixation.

Although some laboratory studies demonstrate significant differences between various methods, excellent clinical results may be demonstrated with a wide range of options [3,9-16]. Therefore, the techniques that are employed depend greatly on surgeon ability, knowledge and graft selection. We think that laboratory data can be part of scientific development but may not be important clinically. The graft and fixation links must provide rigid mechanical fixation from time zero until biologic incorporation of the graft into the bone tunnels. During this interval, the intra-articular portion of the graft as well as the portion within the bony tunnels undergo tremendous biological activity and remain susceptible to injury. The knee must be protected while simultaneously advancing in range of motion, coordination and strength. It is not clear when the graft becomes fully integrated into the bone tunnels or even when it is safe to allow return to full activity; however, Sharpey's fibers have been identified histologically as early as 6 weeks in bone models [9,10]. Therefore, a time interval of unknown duration exists between time zero (when graft fixation is the weakest link) and adequate biologic incorporation of the graft into the tunnel (when the graft substitute tissue becomes the weakest link of the construct). The duration of this period is unknown but is longer for soft-tissue grafts than for grafts with bone plugs. During this interval, laboratory pullout studies demonstrate avulsion of the graft from the tunnel. However, as biologic incorporation is allowed to proceed, increasing failure strength is demonstrated with increasing time, indicating histologic incorporation and a shift of the weak link from the graft-fixation-tunnel interface to the bone ligament interface, then to the interstitial portion of the graft [11,12].

Current laboratory investigations of fixation strength and stiffness indicate that current fixation methods provide inferior strength and stiffness to native ligaments and ligament substitutes and do not provide abundant room for error above estimated requirements (454 N) with respect to rehabilitation [2]. During

the postoperative period, the maximal loads to the graft substitute construct are provided by rehabilitation. These loads should be less than or equal to the graft fixation strength achieved in the operating room, at time zero. In patients in whom the surgeon is concerned about poor fixation, the rehabilitation program should be customized to the fixation. For example, in cases of ACL revision, bone mineral density may be poor and the tunnels may be wide (tunnel lysis), necessitating less than ideal fixation. These patients must undergo a less aggressive rehabilitation protocol because of the inferior fixation. Shelbourne et al., [17] who probably uses the quickest and most aggressive rehabilitation protocols, uses button fixation on both the femoral and tibial sides with patellar tendon graft reconstruction. Yet, the stability results are excellent. Some surgeons, in particular Dargel et al. [18] and Jagodzinski et al., [19] used a press-fit technique with no fixation and have achieved good results. Primary ACL reconstruction using a contralateral patellar tendon autograft is an effective means of achieving symmetrical range of motion and strength after surgery. Rehabilitation after ACL grafting involves obtaining full range of motion, reducing swelling and providing the appropriate stress to achieve graft maturation [17]. Bioabsorbable material screws are widely used in various surgical specialties. One popular application is their use as interference screws in ACL reconstruction. Despite their routine use, a major concern with bioabsorbable materials in surgery has been the incidence of the adverse events. Various case reports and studies in the past years have reported complications specific to the use of bioabsorbable interference screws. Konan, et al. [20] in a review of the literature reported no complications using bioabsorbable screws in ACL reconstruction. The use of press-fit is an alternative fixation method for the bone-patellar tendon-bone graft and provides good stability for the ACL. The use of press-fit fixation technique avoids most interference screw or other hardware-induced complications at the femoral side [21].

## Biomechanics

An evaluation of biomechanical properties of various fixation methods is hindered by several factors. First, we are only able to measure certain parameters in the laboratory. Such parameters include ultimate failure load (strength), yield point, stiffness, displacement to failure and mode of failure. Limited information is available regarding how these variables change during the important process of biologic incorporation. Certainly, these properties relate to clinical situations, but the strength of this correlation is unknown. The laboratory does not recreate the operating room situation in that the articular surfaces and bone tunnels may be accessed more freely in a laboratory specimen than a knee in a living person. Also, the study methods used for these biomechanical studies are performed at different institutions with different equipment and different testing protocols, and few single studies compare many fixation methods under similar conditions. For these reasons, comparing fixation techniques across different studies with different study methods is difficult. Two biomechanical properties are almost uniformly determined in laboratory studies and deserve discussion. Stiffness (N/mm) is the amount of force required to displace the graft a certain distance. It provides an objective

evaluation of the amount of slippage (or stretch) that occurs in response to a particular force before failure of the construct. This property is important because inferior stiffness leads to a large amount of slippage that may allow increased translation, resulting in a clinical failure with a positive Lachman, anterior drawer and pivot shift, although the graft may remain structurally intact but nonfunctional. This has been compared to a chain secured to posts by bungee cords at either end of the chain. As force is applied to the chain, the bungee cords displace under tensile load, although the chain does not change in length, and no component actually fails. Strength (N) is the amount of force a construct can withstand before ultimate failure. Our current graft fixation methods are less stiff and stronger than our graft substitutes and the native ACL, again pinpointing a weak link in the system at time zero [5,22,23].

## Graft Incorporation

Graft fixation is the weak link of the construct until histologic anchoring of the graft in the bone tunnel. The time required for completion of this process in humans is unclear, however the issue has been studied extensively in animal models as well as some human specimens [9-12,24-26]. Several animal studies have examined incorporation of grafts with a bone plug in a bone tunnel. In sheep, graft bone integrates with surrounding bone at 6 weeks [9]. Clancy, et al. [24] demonstrated histologically incorporated bone-patellar tendon-bone grafts in the bone tunnel at 8 weeks in Rhesus monkeys. After 3 months, all testing resulted in interstitial failure of the reconstructed grafts.

In sheep and human specimens, incorporation of the graft involves neo chondrification, neo-ossification and Sharpey's fibers, which have been identified as early as 6 weeks. Intra-articularly, neovascularization, ligamentization and junctional ossification occur. Scranton et al. [9] noted that the process appears to be complete at 26 weeks and recommends protecting the knee of the athlete for at least 4 months. Also, he noted that secure fixation with physiological function enhances biologic incorporation. Earlier incorporation has been identified as well; in a dog model, Rodeo et al. [25] showed that a soft-tissue graft had healed in a bone tunnel by 16 weeks. At that time, failures occurred at the graft or clamp in pullout studies, whereas failure was at the fixation site at 2, 4 and 8 weeks, with mixed failures occurring at 12 weeks. Serial histological analysis revealed progressive re-establishment of collagen-fiber continuity between bone and tendon; this biologic fixation occurs by formation of Sharpey-like fibers. Based on this study, Rodeo, et al. [25] recommended protection of the ligament in the bone tunnel for at least 8 weeks. In a rabbit model, soft-tissue graft healing in a bone tunnel occurred within 3 weeks [26].

Several studies have compared healing of a bone plug to a soft-tissue graft in a bone tunnel. In adult beagle dogs, a bone plug was shown to incorporate at 3 weeks, whereas a soft-tissue graft required 6 weeks. At 3 weeks, the ultimate load to failure was less with a soft-tissue graft and did not differ significantly from the bone plug at 6 and 12 weeks [10]. In goats, failure occurred by pullout of grafts from the tunnel at 3 weeks, but midsubstance failures occurred at 6 weeks. At 6 weeks, histological evidence of complete

healing of the bone plugs occurred; however, soft-tissue graft incorporation had not yet occurred [12].

Although the time required for biologic incorporation has not been pinned down, it appears grafts with bone plugs achieve histologic incorporation earlier than soft-tissue grafts [10,12]. Adequate biologic fixation occurs by about 6 weeks with bone plugs and may require up to 4 months with soft-tissue grafts. This has important implications with respect to postoperative therapy regimens, such that patients who have received graft substitutes with bone plugs may be allowed to advance to higher levels of activity earlier than those with soft-tissue grafts. Once biologic incorporation of the graft in the tunnel has occurred, the rigidity of the ligament substitute depends on the intra-articular portion of the graft itself [11]. Regarding metal versus bioabsorbable screws, Walton11 demonstrated no difference in healing of bone plugs in the tunnel between biodegradable and metal screws. Both graft bone plugs integrated with surrounding bone at 6 weeks.

## Soft-Tissue Graft Compared with Bone Plug Graft

The gold standard for fixation of a graft with a bone plug (bone-patellar- tendon-bone, quadriceps tendon, Achilles tendon) is an interference screw as described by Lambert [27] and Kurosaka, et al. [23]. Interference screws may provide the advantage of rigid aperture fixation (fixation at the native ligament footprint adjacent to the articular surface), which increases knee stability and graft isometry and avoids suture stretch and graft-tunnel motion [28]. Early fixation techniques for soft tissue grafts were limited to distal, indirect fixation (suspensory fixation), which are hindered by inferior stiffness, the windshield-wiper (anterior/posterior), and bungee cord effects (superior/inferior), which may lead to delayed biological incorporation and tunnel enlargement. When distal (suspensory) fixation is used, a complete filling of the tunnel with the graft may prevent this graft-tunnel motion. Newer interference screws have been created specifically for soft tissue grafts. These screws have blunted threads to decrease the risk of soft-tissue graft laceration and have been shown to provide similar fixation to interference screws with bone plugs. The method of fixation of interference screws with soft-tissue graft stiffness of the screw is important. The screw should have compressive stiffness less than adjacent host bone but greater than the soft tissue. Theoretically, the use of interference screws with soft-tissue grafts may avoid the problems with distal fixation (fixation distant from the articular surface). Because of improved fixation techniques for soft tissues, soft-tissue graft substitutes recently have gained popularity in ligament reconstruction.

## Femoral or Tibial Fixation

Fixation of the graft in the femoral tunnel provides greater strength than fixation in the tibial tunnel [29]. The reasons for this are biomechanical and include greater bone mineral density of the distal femur as well as an angle of stress relative to fixation that is mechanically stronger in the femur than the tibia. Several studies indicate improved fixation in bone with increased bone mineral density [30,31]. The higher the bone mineral density, the higher the

compressive stiffness. The distal femur has been demonstrated to have a greater bone mineral density than the proximal tibia [31]. The angle at which force is applied to the tibial fixation is in line with the intraosseous portion of the graft, whereas the force is oblique, and sometimes perpendicular, in the femoral bone tunnel. Therefore, the same stress applied to each end of the graft exposes the tibial fixation to more force than femoral fixation. For these reasons, the same fixation technique provides greater strength and stiffness in the femur than in the tibia. The weak link in the system at time zero, immediately after surgery, is the tibial fixation point.

## Interference Screws

Interference screws as described by Lambert [27] and then Kurosaka, et al. [23] are the main methods of fixation for grafts with bone plugs. They combine aperture fixation with rigid strength and stiffness, providing the most secure fixation when using a bone-patellar tendon-bone graft [32]. The increased rigidity also may lead to increase knee stiffness. Aperture fixation has benefits over distal fixation including avoidance of suture stretch, graft-tunnel pistoning and windshield-wiper effect. The deleterious effects of other fixation methods allow the possibility of delayed incorporation of the graft in the tunnel at the normal anatomic site, as well as tunnel enlargement, with the possibility of clinical failure in the presence of an intact construct. Bioabsorbable screws have several potential advantages. Theoretically, after graft healing and degradation of the implant, no evidence of fixation remains in the bone, and the old fixation site is replaced with new bone, which is not possible with metallic screws [11]. Bioabsorbable screws do not cause distortion on MRI and may not require removal in patients with arthroplasty or revision. Also, you can drill through bioabsorbable screws in revision cases, effectively using the old screw to assist with fixation. Although lower fixation strengths have been reported with bioabsorbable interference screws [33], most studies indicate comparable strength and stiffness in side-by-side comparisons of metal and bioabsorbable interference screws [11,29,33-42]. Clinically, bioabsorbable screws have provided good results [14-16,43]. The literature is mixed regarding complete dissolution of the bioabsorbable implant. Lajtai, et al. [43] reported complete absorption and replacement with new bone by MRI at 5 years in 28 patients, Fink reported complete screw degradation by CT scan at 12 months [14], and Lajtai, et al. [16,44] noted complete absorption by MRI in 6 months. However, some bioabsorbable screws remain evident on scans up to 24 months [45]. These studies have investigated bioabsorbable screws with different compositions. The time required for degradation and its inflammatory potential is dictated by the chemical composition of each screw, and at this point the perfect composition has not yet been agreed upon. Accordingly, it is important that the surgeon know the chemical composition of the selected screw, along with its attendant degradation and inflammatory properties. Three potential disadvantages are screw breakage during insertion [16,38,39], an inflammatory response described with bioabsorbable implants [46] and inadequate fixation after partial degradation prior to biologic incorporation. However, more bone plug fractures have been seen with metal interference screws [41], and similar cysts have been seen with

metallic fixation as those reported with bioabsorbable screws [47]. Abate 40 demonstrated unhindered fixation with a biodegradable screw after 28 days of degradation. Regardless of fixation of a bone plug or soft-tissue graft, interference screw geometry has strength and stiffness implications. Investigating tibial fixation of a soft-tissue graft in a bone tunnel in young cadaveric knees, a 35-mm screw was found to have significantly improved strength and stiffness over a 28-mm length screw [48]. Some investigators [34-37] have suggested that increased screw length provides a greater improvement in fixation of soft-tissue grafts than increased screw diameter; however, in bone plugs, increased screw diameter provides a greater improvement over increased screw length. This may be due to bone plug length, which is limited versus soft-tissue length, which is unlimited, within the tunnel. Also, the ability of screw threads to interdigitate in the graft, or “grab” the graft, is greater with cancellous bone than soft tissue grafts [28]. Whereas the interference screw works by compression with a soft-tissue graft, both compression and interdigitation are used with a bone plug. In fact, in porcine knees, no significant difference was noted in fixation strength of a bone plug when the screw length was decreased from 20 to 15 and 12.5 mm [49]. Several investigators have demonstrated that fixation strength and stiffness are increased with larger diameter screws (9.0 vs. 6.5 mm<sup>23</sup> and 9 vs. 7 mm in 10-mm drill holes 29) in the femur and tibia when using a graft with a bone plug [23,49]. With a soft-tissue graft, screw diameter should approximate that of the osseous tunnel to ensure adequate strength [50]. When using a soft-tissue graft, Weiler et al. [28] recommended a screw diameter 1 mm larger than the graft diameter, especially at the tibial site, or a longer screw, 28 mm rather than 23 mm, in a hamstring graft. This is based on the fact that a screw with a diameter 1 mm larger than the graft diameter has a significantly greater pullout strength than a screw with a diameter equal to the graft with a semitendinosus tendon [28]. Because of concern for graft laceration, the sharp threads of metallic interference screws used for bone plug fixation were blunted in subsequent models, allowing for use with soft-tissue grafts [30]. Gap size (tunnel-graft diameter) also was a significant factor when considering interference screw fixation [32]. In a comparative study of soft-tissue graft fixation with a biodegradable interference screw, sizing tunnels to 0.5-mm increments improved load-to-failure compared with tunnels sized using 1-mm increments [51]. Another issue regarding fixation with interference screws is screw divergence. Optimal interference fixation occurs when screws are placed parallel to the bone plug or soft-tissue graft, thus allowing maximal surface area contact between the screw and graft. Several laboratory studies indicate that screw divergence of 15-30° dramatically decreases the fixation strength of the construct [32,52]. To prevent divergence, notching the anterior edge of the femoral tunnel before screw insertion, flexing the knee 100-120°, and placing the screwdriver through the tibial tunnel may be helpful [50,53]. Because of the inherent inferior fixation strength of the tibia, and the in-line direction of pull in the tibial tunnel compared with the wedge effect in the femoral tunnel, avoidance of screw divergence is more critical on the tibial side than the femoral side [32]. Although laboratory significance has

been demonstrated, screw divergence has not been correlated with laxity clinically [32,54,55]. Other factors relating to interference screws include bone mineral density, tunnel dilation and insertion torque. Insertion torque has been positively correlated with pullout strength in the laboratory [28-31]. Insertion torque may be altered by increasing screw diameter, decreasing gap size and performing tunnel dilation. Under drilling by 2 mm and dilating the final 2-mm diameter compresses the adjacent cancellous bone, increasing the relative bone mineral density and compressive stiffness, with subsequent increased fixation strength [48,56].

### Bone Plug Fixation in the Femur

The mainstay for fixation of a bone plug in the femur is an interference screw. This method of fixation has laboratory and clinical results that are proven and are sufficient for early, aggressive rehabilitation. Several transfixion systems are available. These techniques employ a metallic or bioabsorbable device that is placed perpendicular to the long axis of the femur and through the graft into the bone tunnel. This is predominantly used with a soft-tissue graft that is passed over the transfixion pin within the tunnel. In the laboratory, this method provides adequate strength and stiffness [57]. A clinical comparison of 2-year results after ACL reconstruction with bone-patellar-tendon-bone and interference screw fixation and transcondylar fixation demonstrated equivalent clinical results [58]. Distal fixation with a screw and washer or post has been performed with two-incision techniques, and an end button may be used with a one-incision technique. In cases of femoral tunnel blow out, an interference screw usually will not be adequate. In this situation, an end button, Mitek anchor (Arthrex, Naples, FL) screw and washer or a post may provide distal fixation at the lateral femoral cortex.

### Bone Plug Fixation in the Tibia

Historically, tibial fixation is the weak link of the graft substitute construct with bone plugs and with soft-tissue grafts. In an effort to solve this problem, many fixation techniques have been developed. Staples have been used to secure the graft in a shallow trough to the anteromedial tibial cortex either directly or through a suture linkage. This method has demonstrated favourable strength and stiffness when compared with interference fixation; however, a high incidence of bone-plug breakage (27%) was noted [59]. Screws may be used as a post and linked with suture to the graft. A spiked washer may be used to secure the graft as it exits the tunnel on the proximal medial tibia. Depending on soft-tissue coverage, prominent hardware may be an issue postoperatively. This method may be added to other techniques as hybrid fixation in the presence of concerns of inadequate bone quality or bone plug fracture [60]. Amidst concerns of inadequate tibial fixation, interference screw fixation has proven to achieve adequate fixation for aggressive rehabilitation and provides excellent clinical results [3,13,14,16,44]. When poor bone stock is present, revision with wide tunnels, and distal fixation may be added for augmentation. The standard interference screw for tibial bone plug fixation is approximately 9×20 mm. While the tibial screw is advanced, counter tension must be applied to the graft to prevent advancement of the graft into

the tunnel. Also, graft laceration has been described with metal interference screws, suggesting the screw should approximate the bone plug rather than the tendinous portion [61].

### Soft-Tissue Fixation in the Femur

Cross-pin femoral fixation has been shown to provide good clinical results at 2 years, [57] yet fixation is achieved distal in the tunnel and allows for graft tunnel motion [22]. Fixation at the lateral femoral cortex may be achieved with an end button with good strength and stiffness. The end button with endotape linkage was found to provide similar strength and stiffness as transfixion devices and bioabsorbable screws [22] and interference screws with bone plugs [62]. The end button with a continuous loop (eliminating the knot) demonstrated an impressive failure load and stiffness of  $1430 \pm 115$  N and  $155 \pm 24$  N/mm [63]. This fixation method, however, has been criticized because it creates a greater graft length and suspensory type of fixation that are subject to graft tunnel motion [13]. In fact, 3 mm of motion within the tunnel has been demonstrated under physiologic cyclic loads with the end button [64]. Simonian, et al. [47] noted tunnel expansion after end button fixation compared with a normal tunnel diameter with a spiked washer on the femur, yet no difference was noted clinically [65]. Fu, et al. [50] recommended underdrilling the femoral tunnel, then dilating the tunnel to the desired diameter in 0.5-mm increments before endobutton fixation to diminish graft motion. Although the natural history of tunnel expansion is unknown, its presence is of obvious concern to surgeons. With the association of longitudinal motion to tunnel enlargement [66,67], concern continues with suspensory types of fixations. A screw and post or spiked washer may be used for fixation at the lateral femoral cortex with a two-incision technique, again subject to all the concerns of distal fixation. Interference screw fixation of soft-tissue grafts in the femur allows anatomic fixation close to the joint line for optimal knee stability and graft isometry. However, some reports indicated failure loads lower than that required during daily activities, yet clinical reports comparing transtibial hamstring and patellar tendon graft interference screw fixation in the femur demonstrated no significant difference in outcome [68]. An end pearl or cortical disk may be combined with an interference screw to augment fixation, significantly increasing maximal load to failure and stiffness. This method prevents the graft from slipping away from the screw toward the joint [69,70].

### Soft-Tissue Fixation in the Tibia

Tibial fixation of soft-tissue grafts can be achieved with a staple configuration. The "belt buckle" technique (tendon graft looped over a second staple) has been shown to provide greater fixation than a single staple [71]. Chaimsky, et al. [72] has described a technique in which the proximal staple is driven into the tibial tunnel roof, collapsing the roof onto the tibial tunnel. This provides the theoretical advantage of fracture callus to increase stiffness of the fixation [72]. Staples, however, provide distal rather than aperture fixation, with all the inherent disadvantages. A screw can be used with a metal or spiked washer to secure soft-tissue grafts to the medial cortex. A washer directly on the graft is preferred over

suture to avoid the relatively elastic suture and has been found to provide adequate strength. These methods yield strengths in the range of 800-900 N [60,71]. Some suggest that initial strength of transtibial hamstring tendon interference fit fixation may not allow for an accelerated postoperative rehabilitation [71]. However, when combined with a distal technique, interference fixation provides the benefit of aperture fixation and the strength of distal fixation.

## Conclusion

In the literature, the security of graft fixation is an important factor of ACL reconstruction, especially in the early postoperative period. The graft fixation is a valid alternative method described in literature. We believe that many surgeons have shown good clinical results with less fixation strength [17,18]. Graft fixation continues to be the weak link early in the rehabilitative process. This fixation strength guides the postoperative regimen in that rehabilitation and reintroduction of activities should correlate with fixation strength achieved in the operating room. Although clinical results are good with most fixation techniques, significant differences continue to be demonstrated in the laboratory. The clinical relevance of this is not completely known. In general, aperture fixation provides advantages over distal fixation. Interference screws are the only methods providing fixation close to the articular surface. Some other methods have demonstrated improved strength and stiffness, but distal fixation may be associated with graft-tunnel motion. Ultimately, fixation choice may depend on the surgeon's comfort level but it is most important in the outcome.

## Acknowledgement

None.

## Conflict of Interest

No conflict of interest.

## Reference

- Papastergiou SG, Koukoulas NE, Dimitriadis T, Pappis G, Parisi CA (2008) Rigidfix femoral fixation: a test for detecting inaccurate cross pin positioning. *Arthroscopy* 23(11): 1247 e 1-3.
- Noyes FR, Butler DL, Grood ES, Zernicke RF, Hefzy MS (1984) Biomechanical analysis of human ligament grafts used in knee-ligament repairs and reconstructions. *J Bone Joint Surg*; 66(3): 344-352.
- Shelbourne KD, Gray T (1997) Anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with autogenous patellar tendon graft followed by accelerated rehabilitation. *Am J Sports Med* 25(6): 786-795.
- Johnson DP (1998) Operative complications from the use of biodegradable Kurosaka screws. *J Bone Joint Surg (Suppl 1)*: 103.
- Woo SL, Hollis JM, Adams DJ, Lyon RM, Takai S (1991) Tensile properties of the human femur-anterior cruciate ligament-tibia complex. *Am J Sports Med* 19(3):217-225.
- Cooper DE, Deng XH, Burstein AL, Warren RF (1993) The strength of the central third patellar tendon graft: a biomechanical study. *Am J Sports Med* 21(6): 818-824.
- Hamner DL, Brown CH Jr, Steiner ME, Hecker AT, Hayes WC (1999) Hamstring tendon grafts for reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament: biomechanical evaluation of the use of multiple strands and tensioning techniques. *J Bone Joint Surg* 81(4): 549-557.
- Staubli HU, Schatzmann L, Brunner P, Rincon L, Nolte LP (1996) Quadriceps tendon and patellar ligament: cryosectional anatomy and structural properties in young adults. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 4(2): 100-110.
- Scranton PE, Lanzer WL, Ferguson MS, Kirkman TR, Pfister DS (1998) Mechanisms of anterior cruciate ligament neovascularization and ligamentization. *Arthroscopy* 14(7): 702-716.
- Tomita F, Yasuda K, Mikami S, Sakai T, Yamazaki S, et al. (2001) Comparisons of intraosseous graft healing between the doubled flexor tendon graft and the bone-patellar tendon-bone graft in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Arthroscopy* 17(5): 461-476.
- Walton M (1999) Absorbable and metal interference screws: comparison of graft security during healing. *Arthroscopy* 15(8): 818-826.
- Papageorgiou CD, Ma CB, Abramowitch SD, Clineff TD, Woo SL (2001) A multidisciplinary study of the healing of an intraarticular anterior cruciate ligament graft in a goat model. *Am J Sports Med* 29(5): 620-626.
- Aune AK, Holm I, Risberg MA, Jensen HK, Steen H (2001) Four-strand hamstring tendon autograft compared with patellar tendon-bone autograft for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Am J Sports Med* 29(6): 722-728.
- Fink C, Benedetto KP, W Hackl, C Hoser, M C Freund, et al. (2000) Bioabsorbable polyglyconate interference screw fixation in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a prospective tomography-controlled study. *Arthroscopy* 16(5): 491-498.
- Barber FA (1999) Tripled semitendinosus--cancellous bone anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with bioscrew fixation. *Arthroscopy* 15(4): 360-367.
- Lajtai G, Humer K, Aitzetmuller G, Unger F, Noszian I, et al. (1999) Serial magnetic resonance imaging evaluation of a bioabsorbable interference screw and the adjacent bone. *Arthroscopy* 15(5): 481-488.
- Shelbourne KD, Vanadurongwan B, Gray T (2007) Primary ACL reconstruction using contralateral patellar tendon autograft. *Clin Sports Med* 26(4): 549-565.
- Dargel J, Schmidt-Wiethoff R, Brüggemann GP, Koebke J (2007) The effect of bone tunnel dilation versus extraction drilling on the initial fixation strength of the press-fit ACL. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg* 127(9): 801-807.
- Jagodzinski M, Scheunemann K, Knobloch K, K Albrecht, C Krettek, et al. (2006) Tibial press-fit fixation of the hamstring tendons for ACL reconstruction. *Knee Surgery Sports Traum. Arthrosc* 14(12): 1281-1287.
- Konan S, Haddad FS (2008) A clinical review of bioabsorbable interference screws and their adverse effects in ACL reconstruction surgery. *The Knee* 16(1):6-13.
- Pavlik A, Hidas P, Tállay A, Toman J, Berkes I (2006) Femoral press-fit fixation technique in ACL reconstruction using bone-patellar tendon-bone graft: a prospective clinical evaluation of 285 patients. *Am J Sports Med* 34(8): 220-225.
- Brand J, Weiler A, Caborn DN, Brown CH, Johnson DL (2000) Graft fixation in cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Am J Sports Med* 28(5): 761-774.
- Kurosaka M, Yoshiya S, Andrich JT (1987) A biomechanical comparison of different surgical techniques of graft fixation in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Am J Sports Med* 15(3): 225-229.
- Clancy WG, Narechania RG, Rosenberg TD, Gmeiner JG, Wisnefske DD, et al. (1981) Anterior and posterior cruciate ligament reconstruction in rhesus monkeys. A histological microangiographic and biomechanical analysis. *J Bone Joint Surg* 63(8): 1270-1284.
- Rodeo SA, Arnoczky SP, Torzilli PA, Hidaka C, Warren RF (1993) Tendon-healing in a bone tunnel. *J Bone Joint Surg* 75(A):1795-1803.
- Grana WA, Egle DM, Mahnken R, Goodhart CW. (1994) An analysis of autograft fixation after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction in a rabbit model. *Am J Sports Med* 22(3): 344-351.

27. Lambert KL (1983) Vascularized patellar tendon graft with rigid internal fixation for anterior cruciate ligament insufficiency. *Clin Orthop* 172: 85-89.
28. Weiler A, Hoffmann RF, Siepe CJ, Kolbeck SF, Sudkamp NP (2000) The influence of screw geometry on hamstring tendon interference fit fixation. *Am J Sports Med* 28(3): 356-359.
29. Kohn D, Rose C (1994) Primary stability of interference screw fixation. Influence of screw diameter and insertion torque. *Am J Sports Med* 22(3): 334-338.
30. Caborn DN, Coen M, Neef R, D Hamilton, J Nyland, et al. (1998) Quadrupled semitendinosus-gracilis autograft fixation in the femoral tunnel: a comparison between a metal and a bioabsorbable interference screw. *Arthroscopy* 14(3): 241-245.
31. Brand JC, Pienkowski D, Steenlage E, D Hamilton, D L Johnson, et al. (2000) Interference screw fixation strength of a quadrupled hamstring tendon graft is directly related to bone mineral density and insertion torque. *Am J Sports Med* 28(5): 705-710.
32. Fineberg MS, Zarins B, Sherman OH (2000) Practical considerations in anterior cruciate ligament replacement surgery. *Arthroscopy* 16(7): 715-724.
33. Pena F, Grontvedt T, Brown GA, Aune AK, Engebretsen L (1996) Comparison of failure strength between metallic and absorbable interference screws. Influence of insertional torque, tunnel-bone block gap, bone mineral density, and interference. *Am J Sports Med* 24(3): 329-334.
34. Johnson LL, VanDyk GE (1996) Metal and biodegradable interference screws: comparison of failure strength. *Arthroscopy* 12(4): 452-456.
35. Weiler A, Windhagen HG, Raschke MJ, Laumeyer A, Hoffmann RF (1998) Biodegradable interference screw fixation exhibits pull-out force and stiffness similar to titanium screws. *Am J Sports Med* 26(1): 119-128.
36. Caborn DN, Urban WP, Johnson DL, Nyland J, Pienkowski D (1997) Biomechanical comparison between BioScrew and titanium alloy interference screws for bone-patellar tendon-bone graft fixation in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Arthroscopy* 13(2): 229-232.
37. Rupp S, Krauss PW, Fritsch EW (1997) Fixation strength of a biodegradable interference screw and a press-fit technique in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with a BPTB graft. *Arthroscopy* 13(1): 61-65.
38. Barber FA, Elrod BF, McGuire DA, Paulos LE (1995) Preliminary results of an absorbable interference screw. *Arthroscopy* 11(5): 537-548.
39. McGuire DA, Barber FA, Elrod BF, Paulos LE (1999) Bioabsorbable interference screws for graft fixation in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Arthroscopy* 15(5): 463-473.
40. Abate JA, Fadale PD, Hulstyn MJ, Walsh WR (1998) Initial fixation strength of polylactic acid interference screws in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Arthroscopy* 14(3): 278-284.
41. Kousa P, Jarvinen TL, Kannus P, Jarvinen M (2001) Initial fixation strength of bioabsorbable and titanium interference screws in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Am J Sports Med* 29(4): 420-425.
42. Seil R, Rupp S, Krauss PW, Benz A, Kohn DM (1998) Comparison of initial fixation strength between biodegradable and metallic interference screws and a press-fit technique in a porcine model. *Am J Sports Med* 26(6): 815-819.
43. Lajtai G, Schiedhuber G, Unger F, Aitzetmüller G, Klein M, et al. (2001) Bone tunnel remodeling at the site of biodegradable interference screws used for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Arthroscopy* 17(6): 597-602.
44. Lajtai G, Noszian I, Humer K, F Unger, G Aitzetmüller, et al. (1999) Serial magnetic resonance imaging evaluation of operative site after fixation of patellar tendon graft with bioabsorbable interference screws in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Arthroscopy* 15(7): 709-718.
45. Warden WH, Friedman R, Teresi LM, Jackson DW (1999) Magnetic resonance imaging of bioabsorbable polylactic acid interference screws during the first 2 years after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Arthroscopy* 15(5): 474-480.
46. Martinek V, Friederich NF (1999) Tibial and pretibial cyst formation after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with bioabsorbable interference screw fixation. *Arthroscopy* 15(3): 317-320.
47. Simonian PT, Wickiewicz TL, O'Brien SJ, Dines JS, Schatz JA, et al. (1998) Pretibial cyst formation after anterior cruciate ligament surgery with soft tissue autografts. *Arthroscopy* 14(2): 215-220.
48. Selby JB, Johnson DL, Hester P, Caborn DN (2001) Effect of screw length on bioabsorbable interference screw fixation in a tibial bone tunnel. *Am J Sports Med* 29(5): 614-619.
49. Black KP, Saunders MM, Stube KC, Moulton MJ, Jacobs CR (2000) Effects of interference fit screw length on tibial tunnel fixation for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Am J Sports Med* 28(6): 846-869.
50. Fu FH, Bennett CH, Ma B, Menetrey J, Lattermann C (2000) Current trends in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Part II. Operative procedures and clinical correlations. *Am J Sports Med* 28(1): 124-130.
51. Steenlage E, Brand JC, Johnson D, Caborn D (1999) Interference screw fixation of a quadrupled hamstring graft is improved with precise match of tunnel to graft diameter. *Arthroscopy* 15: 59.
52. Pierz K, Baltz M, Fulkerson J (1995) The effect of Kurosaka screw divergence on the holding strength of bone-tendon-bone grafts. *Am J Sports Med* 23(3): 332-335.
53. Harner CD, Marks PH, Fu FH, JJ Irrgang, M B Silby, et al. (1994) Anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: endoscopic versus two-incision technique. *Arthroscopy* 10(5): 502-512.
54. Dworsky BD, Jewell BF, Bach BR (1996) Interference screw divergence in endoscopic anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Arthroscopy* 12(1): 45-49.
55. Fanelli GC, Desai BM, Cummings PD, Hanks GA, Kalenak A (1994) Divergent alignment of the femoral interference screw in single incision endoscopic reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament. *Contemp Orthop* 28: 21-25.
56. To JT, Howell SM, Hull ML (1999) Contributions of femoral fixation methods to the stiffness of anterior cruciate ligament replacements at implantation. *Arthroscopy* 15(4): 379-387.
57. Clark R, Olsen RE, Larson BJ, Goble EM, Farrer RP (1998) Cross-pin femoral fixation: a new technique for hamstring anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction of the knee. *Arthroscopy* 14(3): 258-267.
58. Mariani PP, Camillieri G, Margheritini F (2001) Transcondylar screw fixation in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Arthroscopy* 17(7): 717-723.
59. Gerich TG, Cassim A, Lattermann C, Lobenhoffer HP (1997) Pullout strength of tibial graft fixation in anterior cruciate ligament replacement with a patellar tendon graft: interference screw versus staple fixation in human knees. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 5: 84-89.
60. Steiner ME, Hecker AT, Brown CH Jr, Hayes WC (1994) Anterior cruciate ligament graft fixation: comparison of hamstring and patellar tendon grafts. *Am J Sports Med* 22(2): 240-247.
61. Matthews LS, Soffer SR (1989) Pitfalls in the use of interference screws for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: brief report. *Arthroscopy* 5(3): 225-229.
62. Rowden NJ, Sher D, Rogers GJ, Schindhelm K (1997) Anterior cruciate ligament graft fixation: initial comparison of patellar tendon and semitendinosus autografts in young fresh cadavers. *Am J Sports Med* 25(4): 472-478.
63. Brown CH, Sklar JH (1998) Endoscopic anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction using quadrupled hamstring tendons and endobutton femoral fixation. *Tech Orthop* 13: 281-298.

64. Hoher J, Livesay GA, Ma CB, Withrow JD, Fu FH, et al. (1999) Hamstring graft motion in the femoral bone tunnel when using titanium button/polyester tape fixation. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 7(4): 215-219.
65. Simonian PT, Erickson MS, Larson RV, O'Kane JW (2000) Tunnel expansion after hamstring anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with 1-incision endobutton femoral fixation. *Arthroscopy* 16(7): 707-714.
66. L'Insalata JC, Klatt BF, Fu FH, Harner CD (1997) Tunnel expansion following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a comparison of hamstring and patellar tendon autografts. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 5(4): 234-238.
67. Nebelung W, Becker R, Merkel M, Ropke M (1998) Bone tunnel enlargement after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with semitendinosus tendon using EndoButton fixation on the femoral side. *Arthroscopy* 14(8): 810-815.
68. Corry IS, Webb JM, Clingeleffer AJ, Pinczewski LA (1999) Arthroscopic reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament: a comparison of patellar tendon autograft and four-strand hamstring tendon autograft. *Am J Sports Med* 27(4): 444-454.
69. Weiler A, Richter M, Schmidmaier G, Kandziora F, Sudkamp NP (2001) The endopearl device increases fixation strength and eliminates construct slippage of hamstring tendon grafts with interference screw fixation. *Arthroscopy* 17(4): 353-359.
70. Nagarkatti DG, McKeon BP, Donahue BS, Fulkerson JP (2001) Mechanical evaluation of a soft tissue interference screw in free tendon anterior cruciate ligament graft fixation. *Am J Sports Med* 29(1): 67-71.
71. Magen HE, Howell SM, Hull ML (1999) Structural properties of six tibial fixation methods for anterior cruciate ligament soft tissue grafts. *Am J Sports Med* 27(1): 35-43.
72. Chaimsky G, Zion I, Mann G, Finsterbush A, Lowe J (2001) Collapsing the tibial bone tunnel in hamstring autograft reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament. *Arthroscopy* 17(2): E6.