Archives in Respiratory & Pulmonary Medicine

Iris Publishers

Review Article

Copyright © All rights are reserved by Lucas Lima Ferreira

Respiratory Muscle Effort Monitoring in Neurocritical Care: Moving Beyond Brain-Centric Management

Lucas Lima Ferreira*

Neuro-ICU, Hospital de Base, São José do Rio Preto, Brazil

***Corresponding author:** Lucas Lima Ferreira; PT, Professor, São José do Rio Preto, São Paulo, Brazil.

Received Date: July 20, 2026

Published Date: July 28, 2026

Introduction

For decades, neurocritical care management has been predominantly "brain-centric." Protocols strictly prioritize the maintenance of cerebral perfusion pressure (CPP), tight control of intracranial pressure (ICP), and prevention of secondary brain injury. However, the lungs and the brain exist in a continuous, bidirectional dialogue [1]. Respiratory failure is a major non-neurological complication in neurocritical patients, often requiring invasive mechanical ventilation (IMV).

While protective ventilation strategies (low tidal volumes and controlled driving pressures) are standard in general intensive care units [2-3], applying them to brain-injured patients presents unique challenges. Respiratory drive in these patients is unpredictable, ranging from severe hypoventilation to intense, central-driven hyperventilation [4]. Consequently, monitoring respiratory muscle effort is no longer a luxury; it is a critical necessity to prevent both lung and brain secondary injuries.

The Pathophysiological Dilemma: P-SILI vs. Secondary Brain Injury

In neurocritical patients capable of triggering the ventilator, excessive respiratory drive leads to high inspiratory effort, resulting in Patient-Self-Induced Lung Injury (P-SILI) [5-6]. The large transpulmonary pressure swings created by strong diaphragmatic contraction can generate excessive transpulmonary driving pressure, regional hyperinflation, and occult pendelluft [7], compounding pulmonary inflammation.

Conversely, suppressing this effort via heavy sedation or neuromuscular blockade is not a benign intervention. Sedatives can alter neurological examinations, the cornerstone of neurocritical monitoring, and worsen hemodynamics. Furthermore, excessive respiratory muscle suppression induces rapid diaphragm atrophy, ventilator-induced diaphragmatic dysfunction (VIDD) [8].

Finding the "sweat spot" where the patient breathes enough to maintain diaphragmatic tone without generating harmful transpulmonary pressure swings requires precise, dynamic monitoring tools [6-9].

The Toolkit: Advanced Markers of Respiratory Effort

To individualize mechanical ventilation in neurocritical care, clinicians must move beyond standard bedside airway pressures (Ppeak, Pplat) and look directly at respiratory effort markers:

Airway Occlusion Pressure ($P_{0.1}$)

P0.1 reflects the drop in airway pressure during the first 100 milliseconds of an occluded inspiratory effort [10]. It serves as a reliable surrogate for central respiratory drive. In neurocritical patients, where brainstem signals or cortical disinhibition can cause extreme drive variation [4], P0.1 is an invaluable, non-invasive bedside tool. Normal values range from -1.0 to -3.0 cmH_2O; values consistently above 3.5–4.0 cmH_2O signal excessive respiratory drive and impending fatigue or P-SILI risk [6,9].

Esophageal Manometry (Pes) and Muscle Pressure (P_{mus})

Esophageal balloon catheterization remains the clinical gold

This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License

standard for assessing pleural pressure dynamics [11].

- Muscular Pressure (P_{mus}): Calculated from esophageal pressure swings (ΔPes) taking into account chest wall elastance, P_{mus} directly quantifies the mechanical work performed by the respiratory muscles [11].
- Dynamic Transpulmonary Pressure (ΔPLdyn): Measured as Paw – Pes, dynamic transpulmonary pressure isolates the stress applied directly to the lung parenchyma during active breathing. Keeping ΔPLdyn within safe limits (typically < 15-20 cmH_2O) protects against P-SILI, even in the presence of strong spontaneous efforts [2,7].

Diaphragmatic Ultrasound and Electromyography (E_{adi})

Non-invasive bed-side ultrasound allows evaluation of diaphragm excursion and thickening fraction (TFdi), offering a morphological and functional assessment of work of breathing [12]. Neurally Adjusted Ventilatory Assist (NAVA) catheter technology measuring electrical activity of the diaphragm (Eadi) provides real-time neural drive monitoring, bridging the gap between neural output and mechanical delivery [6] (Table 1).

Table 1: Main markers of respiratory effort: target parameters, clinical utility, and limitations in neurocritical patients.

Marker	Target Parameter	Clinical Utility in Neurocritical Care	Main Limitation
$P_{0.1}$	1.0- 3.0 cmH_2O	Quick, non-invasive assessment of central respiratory drive	Less accurate under severe airflow limitation
$\Delta P_{es}/P_{mus}$	$P_{mus} \leq 10$ cmH_2O	Direct measurement of inspiratory effort and work of breathing	Requires esophageal catheter positioning
ΔPL_{dyn}	<15-20 cmH_2O	Evaluates real stress on lung tissue during spontaneous effort	Influenced by chest wall compliance variations
Ultrasound (TFdi)	20% - 50%	Bedside identification of diaphragmatic dysfunction or fatigue	Operator-dependent; snapshot measurement

Special Considerations in Brain-Injured Populations

Monitoring muscle effort in neurocritical care is complicated by specific physiological interactions:

1. Intracranial Pressure (ICP) Fluctuations: Large negative intrathoracic pressure swings generated by severe inspiratory effort increase venous return transiently, but can impair cerebral venous drainage, leading to spikes in ICP and drops in CPP [1,4].
2. PaCO2 and Cerebral Blood Flow: Patients with elevated drive often develop respiratory alkalosis, inducing cerebral vasoconstriction and ischemia. Conversely, under-ventilation worsens hypercapnia, raising ICP [4,13]. Effort markers help guide mechanical support adjustments to stabilize arterial blood gases without over-sedation.

Future Directions and Call to Action

The future of neurocritical mechanical ventilation lies in multimodal monitoring that integrates neuro-monitoring (ICP, brain tissue oxygenation) with advanced respiratory mechanics (P0.1, Pes, Pmus) [4,13].

We must move away from the binary protocol of "full suppression vs. unmonitored spontaneous breathing." Clinical trials are urgently needed to establish targeted effort ranges specifically for brain-injured patients, evaluating whether effort-tailored ventilation protocols reduce ICU length of stay, weaning failure, and long-term neurological disability [13].

Conclusion

Optimizing mechanical ventilation in neurocritical patients demands a delicate balance between neuroprotection and lung protection. Respiratory muscle effort markers—such as P0.1, Pmus and PLdyn provide the necessary compass to navigate this tension. Incorporating these tools into routine neuro-intensive care is an essential step toward safer, personalized, and truly holistic patient management.

Acknowledgement

None.

Conflict of Interest

No Conflict of Interest.

References

1. Pelosi P, Rocco PR (2011) The lung and the brain: a dangerous liaison. Crit Care 15(3): 168.
2. Brochard L, Slutsky A, Pesenti A (2017) Mechanical Ventilation to Minimize Progression of Lung Injury in Acute Respiratory Failure. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 195(4): 438-442.
3. Goligher EC, Ferguson ND, Brochard LJ, et al. (2018) Mechanical Ventilation Strategies for Intensive Care Unit Patients: A Primer for Clinicians. JAMA 319(17): 1805-1816.
4. Rola P, Miralles-Aguiar F, Fresno M (2020) Respiratory drive and effort in the neurocritical care patient: A narrative review. Neurocrit Care 33(2): 520-531.
5. Gattinoni L, Tonetti T, Quintel M (2017) How the lung gets injured. Curr Opin Crit Care 23(1): 3-8.

6. Telias I, Damiani F, Brochard L (2018) The patient-ventilator interaction: drives, efforts, and breathlessness. Curr Opin Crit Care 24(1): 1-8.

7. Yoshida T, Torsani V, Gomes S, Roberta R De Santis, Marcelo A Beraldo, et al. (2013) Spontaneous effort causes occult pendelluft during mechanical ventilation. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 188(12): 1420-1427.

8. Mauri T, Yoshida T, Bellani G, et al. (2016) Patient-ventilator dyssynchrony: clinical impact and management in acute respiratory failure. Lancet Respir Med 4(10): 782-791.

9. Ferreira LL, Lunardi AC (2023) Non-invasive monitoring of drive and respiratory muscle effort in patients under mechanical ventilation: an integrative review. ASSOBRAFIR Cien 14: e723.

10. Whitelaw WA, Derenne JP (1993) Airway occlusion pressure. J Appl Physiol 75(5): 2337-2347.

11. Akoumianaki E, Maggiore SM, Valenza F, Giacomo Bellani, Amal Jubran, et al. (2014) The application of esophageal pressure measurement in patients with respiratory failure. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 189(5): 520-531.

12. Umbrello M, Chiumello D (2018) Diaphragm assessment by ultrasound in ICU patients: how and why? Ann Intensive Care 8(1): 84.

13. Stevens RD, Lazaridis C, Chalela JA (2019) Mechanical ventilation in neurocritical care: A consensus statement and clinical practice guidelines. Neurocrit Care. 31(1): 125-140.