



Reconciling Neurophysiology, Research Ethics, and the Evolving Care of Intersex Individuals

Divya R**Professor of Physiology, Trichy SRM Medical college Hospital & Research centre, Tamilnadu, India*

***Corresponding author:** Divya R, Professor of Physiology, Trichy SRM Medical college Hospital & Research centre, Tamilnadu, India.

Received Date: March 05, 2026

Published Date: March 16, 2026

Abstract

For decades, the neurosciences operated under a strictly dimorphic framework of human brain development, heavily reliant on binary classifications of sex. However, individuals with Differences of Sex Development [DSD] also referred to as intersex or Variations of Sex Characteristics [VSC] provide a critical lens through which we can better understand the complex interplay of chromosomes, hormones, and socialization. This editorial synthesizes recent neurobiological literature with the latest international psychiatric guidelines and qualitative patient perspectives. It argues that aligning neuroscientific understanding with modern clinical regulations which prioritize depathologization, bodily autonomy, and trauma-informed pedagogical ethics is essential for the ethical evolution of neuropsychology and medical education.

Redefining the Baseline

Human biology is remarkably adept at challenging our oldest scientific assumptions. For those deeply entrenched in clinical neurophysiology and medical education, populations with Differences of Sex Development [DSD] encompassing a spectrum of congenital conditions where chromosomal, gonadal, or anatomical sex characteristics diverge from binary expectations oblige us to completely rethink the traditional tenets of sexual differentiation. These variations compel us to untangle the distinct influences of genetics, endocrine exposure, and psychosocial environment on the developing brain. As our diagnostic tools grow more sophisticated, the scientific and medical communities must urgently replace outdated, essentialist models with nuanced, affirming, and evidence-based frameworks [1,2,3].

The Brain as a Mosaic

Historically, the neurohormonal theory of brain sexual differentiation dictated that prenatal androgen exposure exclusively drove the masculinization or feminization of neural structures. Recent large-scale neuroimaging studies have fundamentally disrupted this narrative. Analyses of gray matter, white matter microstructure, and connectomics reveal extensive, complex overlap between the sexes. Rather than falling into two discrete categories, the human brain is now understood as a highly individualized “mosaic” of features [1].

For individuals with DSDs, this mosaicism establishes a crucial neurobiological baseline. It proves that atypical chromosomal or hormonal profiles do not result in a “disordered” brain, but rather

reflect the natural variance inherent in human neurodevelopment.

Evolving Psychiatric Frameworks: Depathologization and Psychosocial Burden

The most significant shift in the neuropsychological care of intersex individuals is currently being driven by updated psychiatric diagnostic manuals, which actively work to de-pathologize intersex traits. The World Health Organization's ICD-11 officially removed gender incongruence from the classification of mental disorders [2]. Similarly, the DSM-5-TR explicitly allows the diagnosis of Gender Dysphoria to be applied to individuals with DSDs, conceptually separating psychiatric distress from the biological variation itself [4].

This distinction is vital for modern pedagogical frameworks. As educators and clinicians, we must recognize that the psychological burden carried by intersex individuals rarely stems from their biology, but rather from the intense social pressure to conform to a binary system. Qualitative research highlights that intersex individuals frequently experience profound shame, low self-esteem, and severe social isolation as a direct result of trying to fit into rigid, culturally imposed dichotomies. Furthermore, a pervasive culture of family and medical secrecy often leads to delayed diagnoses and fractured interpersonal relationships, heavily impacting their overall quality of life [5].

The Ethics of Language and the Medical Gaze

Our push to align care with human rights frameworks must also rigorously scrutinize the language and visual tools we utilize in clinical literature and research ethics. A recent scoping review highlights a pervasive issue within medical publications regarding DSD: the uncritical, "sleeping" metaphor of "normality". Researchers frequently rely on purely subjective evaluations of "normal appearance" to justify early, irreversible surgical interventions on pediatric populations. This unexamined language inherently pathologizes natural biological variance. Even our terminology remains contested; while the medical establishment heavily utilizes the acronym "DSD," many individuals associate this term with negative, pathological connotations, strongly preferring the term "intersex" as an affirming identifier [6].

Perhaps most troubling is how the medical gaze has historically been weaponized against this population. A review of recent literature reveals a glaring ethical blind spot: over a third of analyzed clinical case studies publish intimate medical photographs of intersex infants and children without explicitly reporting informed consent for their publication. Compounding this, adult intersex patients frequently report degrading treatment, a lack of empathy during diagnosis, and severe violations of privacy during medical examinations—such as being displayed to cohorts of students without prior consent. For those shaping medical curricula and managing ethics committees, these findings present a clear mandate to reform how clinical observation and patient privacy are balanced in teaching environments [7].

Guideline-Driven Multidisciplinary Care and Bodily Autonomy

Prioritizing anatomical conformity over psychological well-be-

ing is an outdated and potentially harmful metric. Aligning with an agency-based model of intersex health, modern regulations demand the cessation of "normalizing" procedures that serve cultural binarism rather than urgent physiological necessity [3]. Irreversible interventions must be deferred until the individual has the neurocognitive maturity to participate fully in shared decision-making [8].

Achieving this requires comprehensive, well-funded multidisciplinary teams that heavily integrate mental health professionals. Care teams must prioritize psychosocial support, dismantle deeply held prejudices through rigorous educational campaigns for healthcare providers, and champion legislation that fiercely protects the human rights of the intersex community.

Conclusion

The neurobiopsychology of intersex variations illuminates a fundamental truth: sex and gender are multidimensional, emergent properties of a complex biological and social system. Our mandate is to align our neuroscientific inquiries with ethical guidelines that champion healthcare equity. By integrating advanced neurophysiology with compassionate, trauma-informed, and depathologizing care, we can foster a scientific environment that not only respects the diversity of the human brain but actively protects the individuals who possess it.

Acknowledgement

None.

Conflict of Interest

No Conflict of interest.

References

1. Joel D, Berman Z, Tavor I, Wexler N, Gaber O, et al. (2015) Sex beyond the genitalia: The human brain mosaic. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 112(50): 15468-15473.
2. World Health Organization (2022) International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems. 11th ed. Geneva: World Health Organization.
3. Crocetti D, Monro S, Vecchiotti V, Yeadon-Lee T (2021) Towards an agency-based model of intersex, variations of sex characteristics (VSC) and DSD/dsd health. *Cult Health Sex* 23(4): 500-515.
4. American Psychiatric Association (2022) Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. 5th ed., text rev. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association.
5. Moreno-Begines MdN, Arroyo-Rodríguez A, Borralló-Riego Á, Guerra-Martín MD (2022) Intersexuality/Differences of Sex Development through the Discourse of Intersex People, Their Relatives, and Health Experts: A Descriptive Qualitative Study. *Healthcare* 10(4): 671.
6. De Clercq E, Starke G, Rost M (2022) "Waking up" the sleeping metaphor of normality in connection to intersex or DSD: a scoping review of medical literature. *Hist Philos Life Sci* 44(4): 50.
7. Sandberg DE, Gardner M (2022) Differences/Disorders of Sex Development: Medical Conditions at the Intersection of Sex and Gender. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology* 18: 201-231.
8. Coleman E, Radix AE, Bouman WP, Brown GR, de Vries ALC, et al. (2022) Standards of Care for the Health of Transgender and Gender Diverse People, Version 8. *Int J Transgend Health* 23(Suppl 1): S1-S259.